

Main Office

818 West Seventh Street

12th Floor

Los Angeles, California

90017-3435

t (213) 236-1800 f (213) 236-1825

www.scag.ca.gov

Officers

President Glen Becerra, Simi Valley

First Vice President Greg Pettis, Cathedral City

Second Vice President Carl Morehouse, San Buenaventura

Immediate Past President Pam O'Connor, Santa Monica

Executive/Administration Committee Chair

Glen Becerra, Simi Valley

Policy Committee Chairs

Community, Economic and Human Development Paula Lantz, Pomona

Energy & Environment Cheryl Viegas-Walker, El Centro

Transportation Keith Millhouse, Ventura County Transportation Commission

MEETING OF THE

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Thursday, April 4, 2013 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

SCAG Main Office 818 W. 7th Street, 12th Floor Board Room Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 236-1800

If members of the public wish to review the attachments or have any questions on any of the agenda items, please contact Lillian Harris-Neal at (213) 236-1858 or via email harris-neal@scag.ca.gov

Agendas & Minutes for the Transportation Committee are also available at: www.scag.ca.gov/committees/tc.htm

SCAG, in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), will accommodate persons who require a modification of accommodation in order to participate in this meeting. SCAG is also committed to helping people with limited proficiency in the English language access the agency's essential public information and services. You can request such assistance by calling (213) 236-1858. We require at least 72 hours (three days) notice to provide reasonable accommodations. We prefer more notice if possible. We will make every effort to arrange for assistance as soon as possible.





Transportation Committee

Members Roster – April 2013

<u>Members</u> <u>Representing</u>

Chair* 1	l.]	Hon. Keith Millhouse	Moorpark	VCTC
Vice-Chair* 2	2.	Hon. Alan Wapner	Ontario	SANBAG
* 3	3.	Hon. Michael D. Antonovich		Los Angeles County
* 4	1.]	Hon. Bruce Barrows	Cerritos	District 23
* 5	5.	Hon. Glen Becerra	Simi Valley	District 46
6	5.]	Hon. Russell Betts	Desert Hot Springs	CVAG
* 7	7.	Hon. Robert "Bob" Botts	Banning	RCTC
* 8	3.	Hon. Gene Daniels	Paramount	District 24
* 9).]	Hon. Jeff DeGrandpre	Eastvale	District 4
1	10.	Hon. Steve Diels	Redondo Beach	SBCCOG
* 1	l1. I	Hon. Paul Eaton	Montclair	District 9
1	12.	Aziz Elattar	Ex-Officio	Caltrans District 7
* 1	13.	Hon. Mario Guerra	Downey	GCCOG
* 1	14.	Hon. Frank Gurulé	Cudahy	District 27
1	15.	Hon. Bert Hack	Laguna Woods	OCCOG
* 1	l 6.	Hon. Matthew Harper	Huntington Beach	District 64
* 1	17.	Hon. Carol Herrera	Diamond Bar	District 37
1	18.	Hon. Bill Hodge	Calexico	ICTC
* 1	19.	Hon. Jose Huizar	Los Angeles	District 61
* 2	20.	Hon. Jim Hyatt	Calimesa	District 3
2	21.	Hon. Trish Kelley	Mission Viejo	OCCOG
2	22.	Hon. Randon Lane	Murrieta	WRCOG
2	23.	Hon. James C. Ledford	Palmdale	North L. A. County
* 2	24.	Hon. Michele Martinez	Santa Ana	District 16
2	25.	Hon. Brian McDonald		Chemehuevi Indian Tribe
* 2	26.	Hon. Ryan McEachron	Victorville	SANBAG
2	27.	Hon. Marsha McLean	Santa Clarita	North L. A. County
* 2	28.	Hon. Dan Medina	Gardena	District 28
* 2	29.	Hon. Barbara Messina	Alhambra	District 34
* 3	30.	Hon. Leroy Mills	Cypress	District 18
* 3	31.	Hon. Jim Morton	Lynwood	District 26
				District 22
		•		District 19
* 3	34.	Hon. Steven Neal	Long Beach	District 29



Transportation Committee

Members Roster – April 2013

<u>Members</u> <u>Representing</u>

*	35.	Hon. Shawn Nelson		Orange County
*	36.	Hon. Pam O'Connor	Santa Monica	District 41
	37.	Hon. Micheál O'Leary	Culver City	WSCCOG
*	38.	Hon. Gary Ovitt		San Bernardino County
*	39.	Hon. Bernard C. Parks	Los Angeles	District 55
*	40.	Hon. Linda Parks		VCOG
*	41.	Hon. Gregory Pettis	Cathedral City	District 2
*	42.	Hon. Frank Quintero	Glendale	District 42
	43.	Hon. Teresa Real Sebastian	Monterey Park	SGVCOG
*	44.	Hon. Ronald Roberts	Temecula	District 5
*	45.	Hon. Mark Rutherford	Westlake Village	District 44
	46.	Hon. Damon Sandoval		Morongo Band of Mission Indians
	47.	Hon. David Spence	La Cañada/Flintridge	Arroyo-Verdugo Cities
*	48.	Hon. Karen Spiegel	Corona	District 63
	49.	Hon. Tim Spohn	City of Industry	SGVCOG
*	50.	Hon. Jeff Stone	Riverside County	Riverside County
	51.	Hon. Jess Talamantes	Burbank	SFVCOG
*	52.	Hon. Donald Voss	La Cañada/Flintridge	District 36

^{*} Regional Council Member

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

AGENDA APRIL 4, 2013

The Transportation Committee may consider and act upon any of the items listed on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as Information or Action Items.

CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(Hon. Keith Millhouse, Chair)

ELECTION FOR CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR (10 mins.)

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD</u> – Members of the public desiring to speak on items on the agenda, or items not on the agenda, but within the purview of the Committee, must fill out and present a speaker's card to the Assistant prior to speaking. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes. The Chair may limit the total time for all comments to twenty (20) minutes.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

Time Page No.

6

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Item

1. Minutes of the March 7, 2013 Meeting

Attachment

1

ACTION ITEMS

2. <u>Draft Amendment No. 1 to the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy</u>
(RTP/SCS) and Draft Amendment No. 13-04 to the 2013
Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP)
(Margaret Lin, SCAG Staff)

Recommended Action: Release the Draft Amendment No. 1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Draft Amendment No. 13-04 to the 2013 FTIP for a 30-day public review and comment period.

3. Goods Movement Subcommittee Recommendations (Hon. Barbara Messina, Chair)

Attachment 15 mins. 66

Recommended Action: Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the Goods Movement Subcommittee recommendations.



TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

AGENDA APRIL 4, 2013

ACTION ITEMS - continued		<u>Time</u>	Page No.
4. <u>High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee</u> <u>Recommendations</u> (Hon. Karen Spiegel, Chair)	Attachment	15 mins.	70
Recommended Action: Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee recommendations.			
5. <u>Active Transportation Subcommittee Recommendations</u> (<i>Hon. Michele Martinez, Chair</i>)	Attachment	15 mins.	74
Recommended Action: Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the Active Transportation Subcommittee recommendations.			
6. <u>Transportation Finance Subcommittee Recommendations</u> (<i>Hon. Gary Ovitt, Chair</i>)	Attachment	25 mins.	78
Recommended Action: Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the Transportation Finance Subcommittee recommendations.			
INFORMATION ITEM			
7. <u>Summary Report from Subcommittees</u>	Attachment		82

CHAIR'S REPORT

(Hon. Keith Millhouse, Chair)

• 2013 Regional Conference and General Assembly Update

STAFF REPORT

(Ryan Kuo, SCAG Staff)

FUTURE AGENDA ITEM(S)

Any Committee member or staff desiring to place items on a future agenda may make such a request.

ADJOURNMENT

The next Transportation Committee meeting is scheduled for Thursday, June 6, 2013, at the SCAG Los Angeles Office.

All Policy Committee Members are invited to attend the SCAG Regional Conference and General Assembly, May 2-3, 2013, to be held at the JW Marriott Desert Springs Resort & Spa, 78455 Country Club Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260.



Transportation Committee

of the

Southern California Association of Governments March 7, 2013

Minutes

THE FOLLOWING MINUTES ARE A SUMMARY OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE. A DIGITAL RECORDING OF THE ACTUAL MEETING IS AVAILABLE FOR LISTENING IN SCAG'S OFFICE.

The Transportation Committee (TC) held its meeting at SCAG's office in downtown Los Angeles. The meeting was called to order by Chair Hon. Keith Millhouse, Ventura County. A quorum was present.

Members Present:

Hon.	Mike Antonovich	Los Angeles County
Hon.	Bruce Barrows, Cerritos	District 23
Hon.	Glen Becerra, Simi Valley	District 46
Hon.	Russell Betts, Desert Hot Springs	CVAG
Hon.	Bob Botts	Banning
Hon.		Buena Park
Hon.		District 31
Hon.	Gene Daniels, Paramount	District 24
Hon.		Eastvale
	Jeff DeGrandpre Maria Guarra Daymay	
Hon.	Mario Guerra, Downey	GCCOG
Hon.	Frank Gurulé, Cudahy	District 27
Hon.	Bert Hack, Laguna Woods	OCCOG
Hon.	Matthew Harper, Huntington Beach	District 64
Hon.	Carol Herrera, Diamond Bar	District 37
Hon.	Bill Hodge, Calexico	ICTC
Hon.	Jim Hyatt, Calimesa	District 3
Hon.	Randon Lane	Murrieta
Hon.	James C. Ledford	Palmdale
Hon.	Michele Martinez, Santa Ana	District 16
Hon.	Ryan McEachron, Victorville	SANBAG
Hon.	Marsha McLean, Santa Clarita	District 67
Hon.	Barbara Messina, Alhambra	District 34
Hon.	Keith Millhouse, Moorpark (Chair)	VCTC
Hon.	Leroy Mills, Cypress	District 18
Hon.	Jim Morton	Lynwood
Hon.	Brett Murdock, Brea	District 22
Hon.	Steven Neal, Long Beach	District 29
Hon.	Pam O'Connor, Santa Monica	District 41
Hon.	Micheál O'Leary, Culver City	WCCOG
Hon.	Linda Parks	Ventura County
Hon.	Greg Pettis, Cathedral City	District 2
Hon.	Frank Quintero, Glendale	District 42
Hon.	Teresa Real Sebastian, Monterey Park	SGVCOG

Hon. Ron Roberts, Temecula District 5

Hon. David Spence, La Cañada-Flintridge Arroyo Verdugo Cities

Hon. Karen Spiegel, Corona
Hon. Tim Spohn, City of Industry
Hon. Don Voss, City of La Cañada-Flintridge
Hon. Alan Wapner, City of Ontario (Vice-Chair)
SANBAG

Mr. Aziz Elattar Caltrans District 7

Members Not Present:

Hon.Steve Diels, Redondo BeachSBCCOGHon.Paul Eaton, MontclairDistrict 9Hon.Jose Huizar, Los AngelesDistrict 61Hon.Trish Kelley, Mission ViejoOCCOG

Hon. Brian McDonald Chemehuevi Indian Tribe

Hon.Dan Medina, GardenaDistrict 28Hon.Kris MurrayAnaheimHon.Shawn NelsonOrange County

Hon. Gary Ovitt San Bernardino County

Hon. Bernard C. Parks, Los AngelesHon. Mark Rutherford, Westlake VillageLVMCOG

Hon. Damon Sandoval Morongo Band of Mission Indians

Hon. Jeff Stone Riverside County

Hon. Jess Talamantes, Burbank SFVCOG

CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Hon. Keith Millhouse, Ventura County, called the meeting to order at 10:03 a.m. Hon. Alan Wapner, SANBAG, led the Committee in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

No members of the public requested to make a comment.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

There was no request to prioritize agenda items.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Item

1. Minutes of the February 7, 2013 Meeting

A MOTION was made (Hack) to approve the Consent Calendar. The MOTION was seconded (Gurulé) and UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. Motion passed.

Receive and File

- 2. Summary Report from Subcommittees
- 3. Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Final Rule for Major Capital Investment Projects
- 4. <u>Update to Strategic Plan of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS)</u>

ACTION/INFORMATION ITEMS

5. <u>Draft California State Rail Plan (CSRP) Update and SCAG Comment Letter</u>

Emily Burstein, Caltrans Division of Rail, provided an update on the Draft California State Rail Plan and stated that the State Rail Plan is required under state and federal law and is needed to be eligible for federal funding. A series of outreach events with stakeholders, partner agencies, and members of the public were held during the development of the Plan. Ms. Burstein stated that the rail plan is more comprehensive than past plans, and seeks an integrated statewide rail system that blends high-speed, intercity, and commuter rail systems which would link with urban rail lines. Ms. Burstein further stated that the governance of state rail lines is also changing and noted the recent action to allow a Joint Powers Authority to manage the LOSSAN corridor.

Ms. Burstein stated that the Plan includes efforts to increase state-supported intercity rail and commuter lines. This includes supporting additional service to the Coachella Valley and coastal routes as well as proposed Ventura-Santa Barbara commuter service.

Committee members requested that the Plan identify more concrete next steps to implement passenger rail service in the Coachella Valley, along the Santa Paula Branch Line, and on the Ventura-Santa Barbara commuter rail corridor. Members also asked that the Antelope Valley Line study be highlighted, and indicated that the I-15 corridor should remain a viable alignment for Phase II of High Speed Rail as it proceeds through the environmental process.

Stephen Fox, SCAG Staff, reviewed staff's comments for the draft Rail Plan. These include implementing service in new markets; emphasizing regional connectivity; expediting the High-Speed Train Blended System; improving airport connectivity; addressing freight rail bottlenecks; and improving grade crossings.

A MOTION was made (Brown) to forward the proposed comments to Caltrans staff, including those received from the Transportation Committee. The MOTION was seconded (O'Leary) and UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. Motion passed.

6. Sustainability Program Call For Proposals Ranking Criteria

Jacob Lieb, SCAG Staff, provided an update on the Sustainability Program's call for proposals ranking criteria. The program will proceed through a "call for proposals" to solicit project proposals for Active Transportation, Compass Blueprint, and the Green Region Initiative. It was further noted that the Active Transportation component will

provide funding to facilitate bicycle and pedestrian plans and programs, which is the reason that this item is being brought to the Transportation Committee, along with the other policy committees.

Hon. Linda Parks, Ventura County, requested that a criterion be added which promotes a shift from cars to active transportation. Mr. Lieb noted a criterion would be added.

A MOTION was made (Martinez) to approve the criteria ranking for active transportation. The MOTION was seconded (Spiegel) and UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. Motion passed.

Subcommittee's Reports

No verbal update was provided.

CHAIR'S REPORT

No report was provided.

STAFF REPORT

No report was provided.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

There were no requests for future agenda items.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:44 a.m. The next meeting of the Transportation Committee will be held on Thursday, April 4, 2013 at the SCAG Los Angeles office.

Ryan Kuo, Senior Regional Planner

Transportation Planning

							20	13											
)	K = Co	unty l	Repre	sente	d	X =	Attend	ed	-	No Me	eting	NN	l = Nev	/ Memb	er		
Member (including Ex- Officio) Last Name, First Name	Representing	IC	LA	ОС	RC	SB	VC	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	GA May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	0
Antonovich, Michael*	Los Angeles County		Х					Х		Х									
Barrows, Bruce*	Cerritos		Х					Х		Х									Т
Becerra, Glen*	Simi Valley		Х						Х	Х									T
Betts, Russell	CVAG				Х			Х	Х	Х									T
Botts, Bob	Banning, RCTC							NM	Х	Х									Γ
Brown, Art	Buena park							NM	Х	Х									T
Carroll, Stan*	La Habra Heights			Х				Х	Х	Х									T
Daniels, Gene*	Paramount		Х					Х	Х	Х									T
DeGrandpre, Jeff	Eastvale							NM	Х	Х									T
Diels, Steve	Redondo Beach		Х					Х											T
Eaton, Paul*	Montclair		Х																T
Elattar, Aziz	Caltrans - District 7									Х									T
Guerra, Mario	Downey		Х							Х									T
Gurulé, Frank*	Cudahy		Х						Х	Х									T
Hack, Bert	Laguna Woods	l		х				Х	Х	X									T
Harper, Matthew*	Huntington Beach			X				X		X									T
Herrera, Carol*	Diamond Bar		х	<u> </u>				X	Х	X									t
Hodge, Bill	Clexico, ICTC	Х						<u> </u>	X	X									
Huizar, Jose*	Los Angeles	<u> </u>	Х																t
Hyatt, Jim	Calimesa				х			Х	Х	Х									t
Kelley, Trish	Mission Viejo			X				X	X										t
Lane, Randon	Murrieta	 						NM	X	Х									t
Ledford, James C.	Palmdale/No. LA County		Х					INIVI	^	X									t
Martinez, Michele*	Santa Ana	\vdash	^	X				Х	Х	X									+
McDonald, Brian	Chemehuevi Indian Tribe	-				Х			^	^									+
	Victorville	-				X		v	v	v									+
McEachron, Ryan McLean, Marsha*	Santa Clarita		v			^		X	X	X									+
	Gardena	-	X					Х	Х	Х									\vdash
Medina, Dan* Messina, Barbara*	Alhambra	-	X							. v									+
Millhouse, Keith* (Chair)		-	X				v	X	X	X									+
	Moorpark	-		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			X	X	X	X									╀
Mills, Leroy*	Cypress	-		X				Х	X	X									╀
Morton, Jim	Lynwood							l .,	X	X									╀
Murdock, Brett	Brea	-		X				Х	Х	X									╀
Murray, Kris	Anaheim								NM										L
Neal, Steven*	Long Beach		Х					Х		Х									L
Nelson, Shawn*	Orange County			X															Ļ
O'Connor, Pam*	Santa Monica		Х					X	X	Х									L
O'Leary, Micheál	Culver City/WCCOG		Х					X	Х	Х									L
Ovitt, Gary*	San Bernardino County	<u> </u>				X		X	Х										
Parks, Bernard*	Los Angeles	<u> </u>	Х																L
Parks, Linda	Ventura County	L						NM	Х	X									L
Pettis, Gregory*	Cathedral City				Х			X	Х	X									L
Quintero, Frank*	Glendale		Х						Х	X									
Real Sebastian, Teresa	Monterey Park/SGVCOG	<u> </u>						X		X									L
Roberts, Ron*	Temecula				X			X	Х	X									L
Rutherford, Mark	Westlake Village		Х					X											L
Sandoval, Damon	Morongo Band of Mission Indians				х														L
Spence, David	Flintridge/Arroyo Verdugo Cities		X					х	х	х									
Spiegel, Karen	Corona/WRCOG	L			Х			X	Х	X									L
Spohn, Tim	Industry/SGVCOG		Х					X	X	X									L
Stone, Jeff*	Riverside				Х			X	Х										L
Talamantes, Jess	Burbank/SFVCOG		Х						X										L
Voss, Don*	La Cañada Flintridge		Х					X	Х	X									L
Wapner, Alan* (Vice-Chair)	Ontario	<u> </u>				Х		X	Х	X									
	Totals	1	24	8	7	4	1												П



REPORT

DATE: April 4, 2013

TO: Transportation Committee (TC)

FROM: Rich Macias, Director of Transportation Planning, 213-236-1805, <u>macias@scag.ca.gov</u>

SUBJECT: Draft Amendment No. 1 to the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable

Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and Draft Amendment No. 13-04 to the 2013 Federal

Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL: Hosal Wehall

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Release the Draft Amendment No. 1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Draft Amendment No. 13-04 to the 2013 FTIP for a 30-day public review and comment period.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

At its April 4, 2012 meeting, the Regional Council (RC) adopted the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS as developed and recommended by the Transportation Committee (TC). At the September 19, 2012 meeting, the Executive Administration Committee (EAC), acting on behalf of the RC, adopted the 2013 FTIP as developed and recommended by the TC. Since that time, staff has received requests from several county transportation commissions (CTCs) within the SCAG region to amend the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and 2013 FTIP to reflect additions or changes to project scopes, costs, and/or schedule for forty-three (43) critical transportation projects that are ready to move forward towards the implementation phase. Based on information submitted by the CTCs, staff finds that the proposed amendments meet the state and federal requirements, including those associated with SB 375, transportation conformity, and fiscal constraint. Therefore, staff recommends that the Draft Amendment No. 1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Draft Amendment No. 13-04 to the 2013 FTIP (together referred to as "Amendments" in this report) be released for a 30-day public review and comment period.

STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG's Strategic Plan, Goal 1: Improve Regional Decision Making by Providing Leadership and Consensus Building on Key Plans and Policies, Objective a: Create and facilitate a collaborative and cooperative environment to produce forward thinking regional plans.

BACKGROUND:

At its April 4, 2012 meeting, the RC adopted the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS as developed and recommended by the Transportation Committee TC. On June 4, 2012, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) approved the Clean Air Act transportation conformity determination for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS, and the California Air Resources Board (ARB) accepted SCAG's quantification of greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions from the SCS and SCAG's determination that the SCS would, if implemented, achieve the regional GHG emission reduction targets established by ARB in compliance with Senate Bill 375. At its September 19, 2012 meeting, the EAC, on behalf of the RC, adopted the 2013 FTIP. On December 14, 2012, the FHWA and FTA approved the Clean Air Act transportation conformity determination for the 2013 FTIP.

Since that time, staff has received requests from several CTCs to amend the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and 2013 FTIP to reflect additions or changes to project scopes, costs, and/or schedule for a number of critical



REPORT

transportation projects that are ready to move forward towards the implementation phase. Once approved by the federal agencies, the RTP/SCS and FTIP Amendments would allow the projects to receive the necessary federal approvals and move forward towards implementation in a timely manner.

The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS includes approximately 3,600 projects with completion dates spread over a 23-year time period. Forty-three (43) projects would be modified or added as part of the proposed amendments. The Draft Amendments propose revisions to 36 projects and includes seven new projects. Most of the project modifications are relatively minor in nature, including changes to completion years, costs, as well as minor modifications to project scopes. The new projects include transit, freeway, and arterial projects, none of which are different from the types of projects already included in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Details of all project changes are found in the proposed Draft Amendments document (attached).

Based on information submitted by the CTCs, staff finds that the proposed amendments meet the state and federal requirements, including those associated with SB 375, transportation conformity, and fiscal constraint. In addition, an addendum to the Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) associated with the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Therefore, staff recommends that the Draft Amendment No. 1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Draft Amendment No. 13-04 to the 2013 FTIP be released for a 30-day public review and comment period.

In addition, the transportation conformity analysis and Addendum No. 1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR associated with these Draft Amendments are being presented to the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC) for information at its April 4, 2013 meeting. On June 6, 2013, after the public comment period closes, the subject RTP/SCS and FTIP Amendments will be scheduled for review and approval by the TC and final approval by the Regional Council. On the same day, the transportation conformity determination and PEIR Addendum No. 1 will be scheduled for recommended approval by the EEC and RC.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funding for these amendments is provided in the FY12-13 Overall Work Program under WBS No. 13-010.SCG00170.

ATTACHMENT:

Draft Amendment No. 1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Draft Amendment No. 13-04 to the 2013 FTIP























Southern California Association of Governments PROPOSED DRAFT—MARCH 25, 2013

FOR THE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE'S APRIL 4, 2013 REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

Amendment #1

and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 Federal Transportation Improvement Program

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
Project Modifications	3
Modifications to FTIP Projects	4
Modifications to RTP Projects	30
Fiscal Impact	36
Fiscal Impact Summary	36
Senate Bill 375 and the Sustainable Communities Strategy	37
Transportation Conformity	38
Regional Emissions Analysis	39
South Central Coast Air Basin – Ventura County Portion	. 40
South Coast Air Basin	. 40
Western Mojave Desert Air Basin – Los Angeles County (Antelope Valley Portion) and San Bernardino County (Western Portion of MDAB)	. 44
Mojave Desert Air Basin – San Bernardino County Portion Excluding Searles Valley	. 44
Mojave Desert Air Basin – Searles Valley portion of San Bernardino County	. 44
Salton Sea Air Basin – Imperial County Portion	45
Addendum to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Program Environmental Impact Report	. 46
Public Review and Comment	56
Conclusion	57

Introduction

On April 4, 2013, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) adopted the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) for the six-county region including Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura Counties. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS represents the region's commitment to reduce emissions from transportation sources to comply with Senate Bill 375 (SB 375), improve public health, and meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards set forth by the federal Clean Air Act.

A major component of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS is a Project List containing more than 3,600 transportation projects that aim to improve the region's mobility and air quality, and revitalize our economy. Since its adoption, some of these projects have experienced technical changes that are time-sensitive and require amendment to the RTP/SCS and the Federal Transportation

Investment Program (FTIP) in order to allow these projects to move forward in a timely manner.

The purpose of this document is to identify the project changes being made via Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and the associated Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP, and provide documentation demonstrating that the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS as amended will continue to be consistent with federal and state requirements, including the recently-enacted Moving Ahead for Progress for the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) planning requirements, the Transportation Conformity Rule, and Senate Bill 375. An Addendum to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) has also been prepared to evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with the changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List as detailed herein. The analyses and findings for this Addendum to the PEIR Addendum #1 are also included in this document.

Project Modifications

The project changes identified in this Amendment can be broadly categorized as follows:

- Project is new and is not currently included in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List
- Project currently exists in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List, but:
 - o has a revised description,
 - o has a revised schedule,
 - o has a change in total cost, or
 - o includes a combination of the above changes

 Project is being removed from the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List

The tables on the following pages provide details of the project changes from the current Plan and are intended to illustrate a before-and-after scenario for each of the projects. For modeled projects, the "Project Completion By" year represents the Plan network year for which the project was analyzed for modeling and regional emissions analysis. For more specific individual project information as part of the RTP modeling and regional emissions analysis, please refer to the Amendment's modeled projects list available at http://scag.ca.gov.

Modifications to FTIP Projects

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
IMPERIAL	60M0701	IMP091001	LOCAL	0	EXISTING: WIDEN AND IMPROVE CESAR CHAVEZ BLVD. TO 4 LANES (2+2) FROM 2ND STREET TO SR 98. OTHER IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDE: SURFACE REHAB, TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, LIGHTING, AND SIDEWALKS.	EXISTING: 2014	EXISTING: \$8,930	RTP PROJECT COST INCREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST
					REVISED: WIDEN AND IMPROVE CESAR CHAVEZ BLVD. TO 5 LANES (3+2) FROM 2ND STREET TO SR 98. OTHER IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDE: SURFACE REHAB, TURN LANES, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, LIGHTING, AND SIDEWALKS	REVISED: 2015	REVISED: \$8,930		
LOS ANGELES	LA990359	LA990359	LOCAL HIGHWAY	0	EXISTING: GRADE SEP XINGS SAFETY IMPR; 35-MI FREIGHT RAIL CORR. THRGH SAN.GAB. VALLEY - EAST. L.A. TO POMONA ALONG UPRR ALHAMBRA &L.A. SUBDIV - ITS 2318 SAFETEA #2178; 1436 #1934 PPNO 2318	2018	EXISTING: \$1,347,101	RTP PROJECT COST DECREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: GRADE SEP XINGS SAFETY IMPR; 35- MI FREIGHT RAIL CORR. THRGH SAN.GAB. VALLEY - EAST. L.A. TO POMONA ALONG UPRR ALHAMBRA & L.A. SUBDIV - ITS 2318 SAFETEA #2178; 1436 #1934 PPNO 2318. NOGALES (LA) PROJECT INCLUDES WIDENING FROM 2 TRAVEL LANES TO 4 TRAVEL LANES OF E.WALNUT DRIVE NO. EAST OF NOGALES FOR 2600 LINEAR FEET AND WIDENING FROM 2 TRAVEL LANES TO 4 TRAVEL LANES OF GALE AVE. WEST OF NOGALES FOR 1900 LINEAR FEET.		REVISED: \$1,286,500		

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
LOS ANGELES	LAE0465	LA0G440	STATE HIGHWAY	5	EXISTING: ROUTE 005: PHASE 2 AND 3 OF 3 IN LA/SANTA CLARITA: PHASE 2 (N/B FR RTE 14 TO WELDON CNYN ROAD; CONSTRUCT HOV LANE)& PHASE 3 (FR SR14 TO PARKER RD OC; CONSTRUCT HOV, TRUCK & AUX LANES (EA 2332C, PPNO 3189A & EA 2332E PPNO 3189B), SAFTETEA-LU#465. PE & RW \$ ARE PROGRAMMED FOR EA 2332E ONLY. REVISED: ROUTE 005: PHASE 2,FROM SR-14 TO	EXISTING: 2017 REVISED: 2018	\$410,000	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND SCHEDULE
					PARKER ROAD, CONSTRUCT HOV/HOT, TRUCK & AUX LANES (EA 2332C, PPNO 3189A & EA 2332E PPNO 3189B), SAFTETEA-LU#465. PE & RW \$ ARE PROGRAMMED FOR EA 2332E ONLY.				

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
LOS ANGELES	REG0703	LA0G872	STATE HIGHWAY	110	EXISTING: ROUTE 110: NORTHBOUND 405/SOUTHBOUND 110 CONNECTOR WIDENING OR REPLACEMENT WITH A FLYOVER AND CONSTRUCT A NEW AUXILIARY LANE ON SOUTHBOUND 110 FROM I-405/I-110 INTERCHANGE TO DEL AMO BLVD. (EA 29370 PPNO 4552) - STUDY ONLY. REVISED: ROUTE 110: NORTHBOUND 405/SOUTHBOUND 110 CONNECTOR WIDENING OR REPLACEMENT WITH A FLYOVER AND CONSTRUCT A NEW AUXILIARY LANE ON SOUTHBOUND 110 FROM I-91/I-110 INTERCHANGE TO TORRANCE BLVD. (EA 29370 PPNO 4552)	2014	\$1,150	NEW RTP PROJECT COST.	REVISED DESCRIPTION

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
LOS ANGELES	7120010	LA000789	TRANSIT	0	EXISTING: BURBANK-GLENDALE- PASADENA AIRPORT INTERMODAL GROUND ACCESS LINK FEASIBILITY STUDY AND CONDUCT PE, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A LINK BETWEEN THE AIRPORT AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES. REVISED:	EXISTING: 2015	EXISTING: \$5,484 REVISED:	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND FTIP PROJECT COST
					BURBANK-GLENDALE- PASADENA AIRPORT INTERMODAL GROUND ACCESS LINK FEASIBILITY STUDY: CONDUCT PE, DESIGN OF A LINK BETWEEN THE AIRPORT AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES. (CONSTRUCTION IN LA000789A)	2017	\$3,696		

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
LOS ANGELES	7120010	LA000789A	TRANSIT		BURBANK-GLENDALE- PASADENA AIRPORT INTERMODAL GROUND ACCESS LINK: CONSTRUCTION OF A LINK BETWEEN THE AIRPORT AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INCLUDING CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW METROLINK STATION AT HOLLYWOOD WAY/SAN FERNANDO ROAD ON THE ANTELOPE VALLEY LINE AND A LINK BETWEEN THE AIRPORT AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES. (CONSTRUCTION OF LA000789)	2018	\$1,788	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	NEW PROJECT
LOS ANGELES	1TL0703	LA0D376	TRANSIT	0	CONSTRUCTION OF GRADE SEPARATIONS ON 35 MILE FREIGHT RAIL CORRIDOR FROM LOS ANGELES TO POMONA.	2015	\$959	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	DELETION, PROJECT COMBINED WITH LA990359

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
LOS ANGELES	LAOD29	LA0D29	TRANSIT	0	EXISTING: HEART OF THE CITY BUS TRANSFER STATION AMENITIES. REVISED: HEART OF THE CITY BUS TRANSFER STATION AMENITIES. RELOCATE THE EXISTING INTERMODAL TRANSIT TERMINAL AND CONSTRUCT A NEW TRANSIT CENTER WITH 12 BUS BAYS, PASSENGER WAITING AREA AND INFORMATION CENTER, AND A DRIVER OPERATOR LOUNGE. THE PROPERTY WILL ALSO PROVIDE 339 PUBLIC PARKING SPACES (PLUS 2 FOR STAFF: MAINTENANCE & SECURITY) AND BICYCLE FACILITIES. LOCATION - 1521 KINGSDALE AVENUE, REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278	2014	EXISTING: \$9,378 REVISED: \$10,045	RTP PROJECT COST INCREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND COST
LOS ANGELES	LA0G901	LA0G901	TRANSIT		HISTORIC LOS ANGELES STREETCAR	2018	\$125,000	NEW RTP PROJECT COST.	NEW PROJECT

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	2A0704	ORA130401	LOCAL HIGHWAY		WIDEN CERRITOS AVENUE EASTBOUND 4 TO 5 LANES, FROM WALKER STREET TO ANGELA AVENUE.	2014	\$378	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	NEW PROJECT
ORANGE	2H0703	ORA111210	STATE HIGHWAY	5	EXISTING: I-5 FROM SR 55 TO SR 57 - ADD 1 HOV LANE EACH DIRECTION; RECONSTRUCT THE FIRST ST/FOURTH ST IC ON SB I-5 TO INCREASE WEAVING LENGTH TO STANDARD (EXTEND MERGE LANES BY 100 FEET) REVISED: I-5 FROM SR 55 TO SR 57 - ADD 1 HOV LANE EACH DIRECTION; RECONSTRUCT THE FIRST ST/FOURTH ST IC ON SB I-5 TO INCREASE WEAVING LENGTH TO STANDARD	2018	\$46,356	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	2M0730	ORA111801	STATE HIGHWAY	5	EXISTING: I-5 WIDENING (EL TORO TO SR-73) - ADD 2 GP LANES FROM AVERY TO ALICIA IN BOTH DIRECTIONS; EXTEND 2ND HOV FROM EL TORO TO ALICIA IN BOTH DIRECTIONS; PROVIDE OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS; AND RECONFIGURE INTERCHANGES AT AVERY PKWY & LA PAZ. CONSISTENT WITH THE 2012 RTP REVISED: I-5 WIDENING (EL TORO TO SR-73) - ADD 1 GP LANES FROM AVERY TO ALICIA IN EACH DIRECTIONS; EXTEND 2ND HOV FROM EL TORO TO ALICIA IN EACH DIRECTIONS; PROVIDE OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS; AND RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES AT AVERY PKWY & LA PAZ RD. CONSISTENT WITH THE 2012 RTP	2023	\$6,000	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	2M0733	ORA100511	STATE HIGHWAY	55	EXISTING: SR-55 WIDENING BETWEEN I-405 AND I-5 - ADD 1 MF LANE EACH DIRECTION AND FIX CHOKEPOINTS FROM I- 405 TO I-5; ADD 1 AUX LANE EA DIR BTWN SELECT ON/OFF RAMP THROUGH PROJECT LIMITS (PS&E AND PAED). CONSISTENT WITH THE 2012 RTP	EXISTING: 2021	EXISTING: \$297,000	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND FTIP PROJECT COST
					REVISED: SR-55 WIDENING BETWEEN I-405 AND I-5 - ADD 1 MF LANE EACH DIRECTION AND FIX CHOKEPOINTS FROM I- 405 TO I-5; ADD 1 AUX LANE EA DIR BTWN SELECT ON/OFF RAMP AND NON-CAPACITY OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS THROUGH PROJECT LIMITS (PS&E AND PAED). CONSISTENT WITH THE 2012 RTP	REVISED: 2020	REVISED: \$274,900		

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	ORA030605	ORA030605	STATE HIGHWAY	405	EXISTING: I-405 FROM SR-73 TO I- 605. IN EACH DIRECTION ADD 1 MF LAND, CONVERT EXISTING HOV TO HOT, ADD 1 ADDITIONAL HOT LANE, AND ADDITIONAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. COMBINED WITH ORA045, ORA151 AND ORA120310. CONSISTENT WITH THE 2012 RTP REVISED: I-405 FROM SR-73 TO I- 605 ADD 1 MF LANE EACH DIR AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. #317. COMBINED WITH ORA045, ORA151 AND ORA120310 ORA120310.	2023	\$1,694,000	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	2TR0701	ORA080908	TRANSIT	0	EXISTING: A TRANSIT CORRIDOR FOR THE CITY OF ANAHEIM - ANAHEIM RAPID CONNECTION (ARC) FIXED GUIDEWAY SYSTEM LINKING THE ANAHEIM REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL CENTER (ARTIC) TO THE PLATINUM TRIANGLE TO THE ANAHEIM RESORT. ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS, EIR/EIS, LPA AND CONCEPTUAL AND ADVANCED ENGINEERING, PROJECT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES AND PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING.	EXISTING: 2015	EXISTING: \$18,536	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND FTIP PROJECT COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: A TRANSIT CORRIDOR FOR THE CITY OF ANAHEIM - ANAHEIM RAPID CONNECTION (ARC) FIXED GUIDEWAY SYSTEM CONNECTING THE ANAHEIM REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL CENTER (ARTIC) THE PLATINUM TRIANGLE, AND THE ANAHEIM RESORT. ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS, EIR/EIS, LPA AND CONCEPTUAL AND ADVANCED ENGINEERING, PROJECT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES AND PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING.	REVISED: 2020	REVISED: \$319,000		
RIVERSIDE	30M0701- RIV110302	RIV110302	STATE HIGHWAY	10	EXISTING: ON I-10 IN THE CITY OF BLYTHE - PROVIDE NEW W/B ON AND W/B OFF RAMPS TO HOBSON WAY APPROX 1,800' W/O EXISTING RAMPS TO RIVIERA DR/INSPECTION STATION. THE NEW RAMPS WILL REPLACE EXISTING CONNECTION TO RIVIERA DR.	2015	EXISTING: \$3,635	RTP PROJECT COST INCREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: ON I-10 IN THE CITY OF BLYTHE - PROVIDE NEW W/B ON AND W/B OFF RAMPS TO HOBSON WAY APPROX 3,500" W/O EXISTING RAMPS TO RIVIERA DR/INSPECTION STATION. THE NEW RAMPS WILL REPLACE EXISTING CONNECTION TO RIVIERA DR.		REVISED: \$3,998		
SAN BERNARDINO	200018	200018	LOCAL	0	EXISTING: BOULDER AV ACROSS CITY CREEK S/O BASELINE - RECONSTRUCT EXISTING BRIDGE FROM 2 TO 4 LANES; ALSO WIDEN BOULDER AVE FROM 190 FT NORTH TO 1,430 FT SOUTH OF BRIDGE FROM 2-4 LANES (54C0648)(TOLL CREDITS \$600 FOR FY12/13 CON)	EXISTING: 2012	EXISTING: \$21,898	RTP PROJECT COST DECREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: BOULDER AV ACROSS CITY CREEK S/O BASELINE - RECONSTRUCT EXISTING BRIDGE FROM 2 TO 4 LANES; ALSO WIDEN BOULDER AVE FROM 190' NORTH TO 1,430' SOUTH OF BRIDGE FROM 2-4 LANES (54C0648)	REVISED: 2014	REVISED: \$16,765		
SAN BERNARDINO	20130403	20130403	LOCAL HIGHWAY	0	IN RIALTO, CONSTRUCT PEPPER AVE - 4 LANES FROM NORTHERN TERMINUS TO APPROX 1,300 FT S/O HIGHLAND AVE AND 2 LANES FROM APPROX 1,300 FT S/O HIGHLAND AVE TO HIGHLAND AVE	2014	\$15,000	NEW RTP PROJECT COST.	NEW PROJECT

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	20084104	20084104	LOCAL	0	EXISTING: JOSHUA STREET PARK & RIDE EXPANSION - ON JOSHUA STREET WEST OF US 395,C ITY OF HESPERIA, EXISTING PNR HAS 188 SPACES AND NEEDS TO ADD 150 SPACES, TO INCLUDE LANDSCAPING, LIGHTING AND VARIOUS NON-CAPACITY STREET IMPROVEMENTS TO FACILITATE ADDITIONAL SPACES (TOLL CREDITS TO BE USED IN ENG & CON PHASES.CMAQ ADDED \$5 IN 10/11 AND \$67 2011/12) REVISED: JOSHUA STREET PARK & RIDE EXPANSION - ON JOSHUA STREET WEST OF US 395,C ITY OF HESPERIA, EXISTING PNR HAS 188 SPACES AND NEEDS TO ADD 200 SPACES, TO INCLUDE LANDSCAPING, LIGHTING AND VARIOUS NON-CAPACITY STREET IMPROVEMENTS TO FACILITATE ADDITIONAL SPACES	REVISED: 2014	REVISED: \$743	PROJECT COST INCREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
SAN BERNARDIN O	200622	200622	LOCAL HIGHWAY	0	LENWOOD GRADE SEPARATION - NORTH OF WEST MAIN ST; APPROX.400 FT. N/O TO 600 FT. S/O BNSF AND SANTA FE RR RIGHT-OF- WAY-4 TRAVEL LANE	EXISTING: 2014	\$31,732	NO CHANGE TO PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED SCHEDULE
					GRADE SEPARATION (CA627)	REVISED: 2015			
SAN BERNARDINO	20130401	20130401	LOCAL HIGHWAY	0	ON ORANGE ST. FROM 2,000 FT. S/O GREENSPOT RD. TO 7,800 FT. N/O PIONEER AVE-BRIDGE REPLACEMENT 2 LANE TO 4 LANE BRIDGE	2018	\$4,630	NEW PROJECT COST.	NEW PROJECT
SAN BERNARDINO	20130402	20130402	LOCAL	0	RESTRIPE EXISTING STRUCTURAL SECTION OF BAKER BLVD BETWEEN I-15 RAMPS AND SH 127 FROM 2 - 4 LANE CONFIGURATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH PROJECT TO REPLACE EXISTING 2 LANE BRIDGE 54CO127 WITH 4 LANE BRIDGE	2015	\$25	NEW PROJECT COST.	NEW PROJECT

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	200064	200064	LOCAL HIGHWAY	0	EXISTING: WASHINGTON ST FROM RECHE CANYON TO HUNTS LN - ELIMINATE BOTTLENECK ADD NB TURN POCKET; WIDEN 2- 4 LNS ON WASHINGTON FROM RECHE CYN. TO HUNTS LN. USING EXISTING WIDTH; MODIFY SIGNALS	EXISTING: 2012	\$570	NO CHANGE TO PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND COST
					REVISED: WASHINGTON ST FROM RECHE CANYON TO HUNTS LN - ELIMINATE BOTTLENECK BY ADDING NB TURN POCKET AT RECHE CANYON RD. (EXCLUSIVE LEFT AND RIGHT) THROUGH RESTRIPING AND WIDENING WITHIN R/W; MODIFY TRAFFIC SIGNALS	REVISED: 2014			
SAN BERNARDINO	44810- 44812	44812	STATE HIGHWAY	10	EXISTING: I-10 TIPPECANOE RECONFIGURE INTERCHANGE & LOCAL RD IMP/MOD (HP 1366)(FORMERLY PART OF RTP ID 44810)(WESTBOUND)(N ON-CAPACITY LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS - NO THRU LANES)	EXISTING: 2014	EXISTING: \$57,070	PROJECT COST INCREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: I-10 TIPPECANOE RECONFIGURE INTERCHANGE & LOCAL RD IMP/MOD (HP 1366)(WESTBOUND - PHASE II)(FORMERLY PART OF RTP ID 44810)	REVISED: 2015	REVISED: \$61,863		
SAN BERNARDINO	200048	200048	STATE HIGHWAY	15	EXISTING: I-15 AT BASELINE INTERCHANGE - FROM 1,800 N/O BASELINE TO 2,400' S/O; 1800' W/O TO EAST AVE. TO 1500' E/O EAST AVE-WIDEN RAMPS (INCLUDING BRIDGES), WIDEN BASELINE RD. FROM 4-6 LANES, WIDEN EAST AVE. FROM 2-4 LANES, REALIGN AND WIDEN S/B AND N/B DIAMOND RAMPS FROM 1-2 LNS (INCLUDG BRIDGES, AD S.B LOOP ON-RAMP (INCL BRIDGES) ADD I-15 ACCEL/DECEL LANES, AND OPERATIONAL IMPROVEME	EXISTING: 2014	EXISTING: \$43,100	PROJECT COST INCREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: I-15 AT BASELINE INTERCHANGE - FROM 1,800 N/O BASELINE TO 2,400FT S/O; 1800FT W/O TO EAST AVE. TO 1500FT E/O EAST AVE. WIDEN RAMPS (INCLUDING BRIDGES), WIDEN BASELINE RD. FROM 4-6 LNS, WIDEN EAST AVE. FROM 2-4 LNS, REALIGN AND WIDEN S/B AND N/B DIAMOND RAMPS FROM 1-2 LNS (INCLUDG BRIDGES, AD S.B LOOP ON-RAMP (INCL BRIDGES) ADD I-15 ACCEL/DECEL LNS, AND OPERATIONAL IMPRVMNTS (EA497100)(CA435)	REVISED: 2015	REVISED: \$53,378		

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
SAN BERNARDINO	20061201	20061201	STATE HIGHWAY	15	EXISTING: I-15/I-215 I/C IMPRVMTS-DEVORE I/C S/O GLEN HELEN PKWY TO N/O KENWOOD & ON I-215 FROM S/O DEVORE RD. I/C TO I-15 (16.0-17.8) ADD 1 M/F LN IN EA DIR TO EXISTG 3 M/F LNS FROM 3800 FT S/O GLEN HELEN PKWY TO 3100 FT N/O I- 215 I/C, CONSTRUCT TRUCK BYPASS LNS S/O I-15/215 I/C TO N/O KENWOOD I/C RECONFIG OF I-15/215 I/C DEVORE RD. I/C & KENWOOD I/C RECONNECT OF CAJON BTWN DEVORE RD & KENWOOD(TLL CRDTS)	2018	EXISTING: \$324,246	PROJECT COST DECREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: I-15/I-215 I/C IMPROVMTS-DEVORE I/C S/O GLEN HELEN PARKWY TO N/O KENWOOD & I-215 FROM S/O DEVORE RD. I/C TO I-15 (16.0-17.8) ADD 1 M/F LN IN EA DIR TO EXISTG 3 M/F LNS FROM 3800 FT S/O GLEN HELEN PARKWY TO 3100 FT N/O I-215 I/C ADD 1 DECEL LN FROM 3200 FT S/O 12/215I/C OFFRMP TO S/B DEVORE ON I- 215, CONSTRUCT TRUCK BYPASS LNS.		REVISED: \$323,865		
SAN BERNARDINO	4M1007	20110110	STATE HIGHWAY	210	EXISTING: CONSTRUCT NEW FULL- SERVICE INTERCHANGE WITH DIAMOND CONFIGURATION AT SR- 210 AND PEPPER AVENUE IN THE CITY OF RIALTO. ADD WB AND EB ACCEL AND DECEL LANES AND LOCAL STREET IMPROVEMENTS (CONSTRUCT 4 LANES ON PEPPER AVE FROM HIGHLAND AVE TO 160 FT SOUTH OF SR-210).	2015	\$18,965		REVISED DESCRIPTION

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: CONSTRUCT NEW FULL- SERVICE INTERCHANGE WITH DIAMOND CONFIGURATION AT SR- 210 AND PEPPER AVENUE IN THE CITY OF RIALTO. ADD WB AND EB ACCEL AND DECEL LANES AND WIDEN PEPPER FROM 2-4 LANES FROM HIGHLAND AVE. TO EXISTING 4 LANE SECTION S/O INTERCHANGE				
SAN BERNARDINO	4M01005	20111625	STATE HIGHWAY	210	EXISTING: SR210 LANE ADDITION - ADD 1 MIXED FLOW LANE IN EACH DIRECTION FROM HIGHLAND AVE(S/B). TO I-10 (REDLANDS) INCLUDES AUX. LANES BETWEEN HIGHLAND AND 5TH STS AND AN ACCELERATION LANE AT 5TH ST. S/B ON RAMP	2020	\$143,939		REVISED DESCRIPTION

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: SR210 LANE ADDITION - ADD 1 MIXED FLOW LANE IN EACH DIRECTION FROM HIGHLAND AVE(S/B). TO LUGONIA (REDLANDS) INCLUDES AUX. LANES BETWEEN BASE LINE AND 5TH STS AND AN ACCELERATION LANE AT 5TH ST. S/B ON RAMP				
SAN BERNARDINO	4M01043	OM630	STATE HIGHWAY	215	EXISTING: I-215 MT. VERNON/WASHINGTON ST. INTERCHANGE- RECONSTRUCT I/C- (PROJECT IS IN REPLACE O/C STRUCTURE; RECONFIGURE ON/OFF RAMPS; ADD SB ACCEL AND NB DECEL LANE- IMPROVEMENTS TO LOCAL STREETS (PA & ED ONLY)	EXISTING: 2018	EXISTING: \$85,000		REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
					REVISED: I-215 MT. VERNON/WASHINGTON ST. INTERCHANGE- RECONSTRUCT I/C- REPLACE O/C STRUCTURE; RECONFIGURE ON/OFF RAMPS; ADD SB ACCEL AND NB DECEL LANE- IMPROVEMENTS TO LOCAL STREETS	REVISED: 2020	REVISED: \$71,500		
SAN BERNARDINO	4TR0101	20061012	TRANSIT	0	EXISTING: DOWNTOWN S.B. PASSENGER RAIL — FROM SAN BERNARDINO METROLINK STATION TO APPROX. 1 MILE EAST TO A NEW METROLINK STATION AT RIALTO AVE AND E ST. IN DOWNTOWN SAN BERNARDINO	EXISTING: 2014	EXISTING: \$66,021		REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST
					REVISED: DOWNTOWN S.B. PASSENGER RAIL – FROM SAN BERNARDINO METROLINK STATION TO APPROX. 1 MILE EAST TO A NEW TRANSIT STATION AT RIALTO AVE AND E ST. IN DOWNTOWN SAN BERNARDINO	REVISED: 2015	REVISED: \$83,713		

COUNTY	RTP ID	FTIP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLE- TION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
VENTURA	5AL07	VEN121201	LOCAL HIGHWAY		MADERA RD IN SIMI VALLEY. WIDEN EASTSIDE FROM SIMI VILLAGE DR TO LOS ANGELES AVE TO ADD THIRD LANE AND RIGHT- TURN LANE.	2014	\$600		NEW PROJECT

^{*} For modeled projects, represents the Plan network year for which the project was analyzed for modeling and regional emissions analysis

Modifications to RTP Projects

COUNTY	RTP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLETION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
IMPERIAL	6120011	LOCAL HIGHWAY	0	CESAR CHAVEZ FROM 2 ND STREET TO SR-98: WIDEN AND IMPROVE	2018	\$13,196	DUPLICATE RTP PROJECT COST REMOVED.	REMOVED DUPLICATE PROJECT
IMPERIAL	6120002	STATE HIGHWAY	I-8	RECONSTRUCT I-8 INTERCHANGE AT IMPERIAL AVE.: FROM A TWO-LANE TO A FOUR-LANE DIAMOND TYPE OVERCROSSING, REALIGN AND RECONSTRUCT ON AND OFF- RAMPS, AND PROVIDE ACCESS TO IMPERIAL AVE. SOUTH OF I- 8.	2020	\$39,635	DUPLICATE RTP PROJECT COST REMOVED.	REMOVED DUPLICATE PROJECT
ORANGE	2121001	LOCAL HIGHWAY	0	NEW RAIL GRADE SEPARATION ON LOSSAN CORRIDOR (ANAHEIM)	2013	\$439	NEW RTP PROJECT COST.	NEW PROJECT (PREVIOUSLY IN 2012-2035 RTP/SCS STRATEGIC PLAN)

COUNTY	RTP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLETION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	2A0704	LOCAL	REGIONAL CAPACITY PROGRAM	COMPLETE MPAH, IMPROVE ARTERIAL CAPACITY.	2035	\$1,984,650	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED MODELING DETAILS INCLUDING THE REMOVAL OF THE 19 TH STREET ADDITION FROM BALBOA TO BANNING; AND A COMPLETION DATE OF 2016 FOR THE BROOKHURST STREET SEGMENT 600'NORTH OF THE I-5 TO SR- 91
ORANGE	2H0703	STATE HIGHWAY	I-5	EXISTING: ADD 1 HOV LANE EACH DIRECTION; RECONSTRUCT THE FIRST ST/FOURTH ST IC ON SB I-5 TO INCREASE WEAVING LENGTH TO STANDARD; EXTEND MERGE LANES BY 100 FEET REVISED: ADD 1 HOV LANE EACH DIRECTION; RECONSTRUCT THE FIRST ST/FOURTH ST IC ON SB I-5 TO INCREASE WEAVING LENGTH TO STANDARD	2018	\$46,400	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION

COUNTY	RTP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLETION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	2M0730	STATE HIGHWAY	I-5	EXISTING: ADD 2 GP LANES FROM AVERY TO ALICIA IN BOTH DIRECTIONS; EXTEND 2ND HOV FROM EL TORO TO ALICIA IN BOTH DIRECTIONS; PROVIDE OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS; AND RECONFIGURE INTERCHANGES AT AVERY PKWY & LA PAZ REVISED: ADD 1 GP LANE FROM AVERY TO ALICIA IN EACH DIRECTION; EXTEND 2ND HOV FROM EL TORO TO ALICIA IN EACH DIRECTION; PROVIDE OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS; AND RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES AT AVERY PKWY & LA PAZ RD.	2023	\$558,700	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION
ORANGE	ORA030605	STATE HIGHWAY	I-405	EXISTING: I-405 FROM SR-73 TO I-605. IN EACH DIRECTION, ADD 1 MF LANE, CONVERT EXISTING HOV TO HOT, ADD 1 ADDITIONAL HOT LANE, AND ADDITIONAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	EXISTING: 2023	EXISTING: \$1,694	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST (COST REVISION	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST (COST REVISION CORRECTS TYPOGRA-

COUNTY	RTP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLETION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
				REVISED: ADD 1 MF LANE IN EACH DIRECTION, AND ADDITIONAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (BY 2022); CONVERT EXISTING HOV TO HOT, ADD 1 ADDITIONAL HOT LANE EACH DIRECTION (BY 2035)	REVISED: 2035	REVISED: \$1,694,000	CORRECTS TYPOGRA- PHICAL ERROR; ORIGINAL RTP/SCS FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS BASED ON CORRECT COST). NO FISCAL IMPACT.	PHICAL ERROR)
ORANGE	2M0733	STATE HIGHWAY	SR-55	EXISTING: ADD 1 MF LANE EACH DIRECTION AND FIX CHOKEPOINTS FROM I-405 TO SR-22; ADD 1 AUX LANE EA DIR BTWN SELECT ON/OFF RAMP AND OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS THROUGH PROJECT LIMITS	EXISTING: 2023	EXISTING: \$343,055	RTP PROJECT COST DECREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION, SCHEDULE, AND COST
				REVISED: ADD 1 MF LANE EACH DIRECTION AND FIX CHOKEPOINTS FROM I-405 TO I-5; ADD 1 AUX LANE EA DIR BTWN SELECT ON/OFF RAMPS AND OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS THROUGH PROJECT LIMITS	REVISED: 2020	REVISED: \$274,900		

COUNTY	RTP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLETION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
ORANGE	2TR0701	TRANSIT	0	EXISTING: ANAHEIM RAPID CONNECTION: ELEVATED FIXED-GUIDEWAY SYSTEM CONNECTING THE ANAHEIM REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL CENTER, THE PLATINUM TRIANGLE, AND THE ANAHEIM RESORT REVISED: ANAHEIM RAPID CONNECTION: FIXED-GUIDEWAY SYSTEM CONNECTING THE ANAHEIM REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL CENTER, THE PLATINUM TRIANGLE, AND THE ANAHEIM RESORT	2020	EXISTING: \$676,000 REVISED: \$394,895	RTP PROJECT COST DECREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND COST
ORANGE	2TR1001	TRANSIT	0	EXISTING: SANTA ANA AND GARDEN GROVE FIXED GUIDEWAY BETWEEN SARTC AND A NEW TRANSIT CENTER IN GARDEN GROVE, NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF HARBOR BOULEVARD AND WESTMINSTER AVENUE.	2018	EXISTING: \$252,000	RTP PROJECT COST DECREASE.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND COST

COUNTY	RTP ID	CATEGORY	ROUTE	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT COMPLETION BY*	PROJECT COST (\$1,000'S)	FISCAL IMPACT	REASON FOR AMENDMENT
SAN	4120194	TRANSIT	0	REVISED: SANTA ANA AND GARDEN GROVE FIXED GUIDEWAY BETWEEN SARTC AND A NEW TRANSIT CENTER IN GARDEN GROVE, NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF HARBOR BOULEVARD AND WESTMINSTER AVENUE. SEGMENT 1: SARTC TO BRISTOL SEGMENT 2: BRISTOL TO HARBOR ADD A SECOND	EXISTING:	REVISED: \$246,613 \$183,490	NO	REVISED
BERNARDINO				TRACK/ADDITIONAL PASSING TRACK THROUGHOUT THE CORRIDOR OF PHASE 1 PROJECT	2020 REVISED: 2023		CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	SCHEDULE
SAN BERNARDINO	4TR0101	TRANSIT	0	EXISTING: EXTEND RAIL SERVICE TO REDLANDS (9 MILES); COMMUTER RAIL TECHNOLOGY REVISED: EXTEND METROLINK RAIL SERVICE FROM RIALTO/E ST IN SAN BERNARDINO TO REDLANDS (9 MILES)	EXISTING: 2015 REVISED: 2018	\$148,879	NO CHANGE TO RTP PROJECT COST. NO FISCAL IMPACT.	REVISED DESCRIPTION AND SCHEDULE

^{*} For modeled projects, represents the Plan network year for which the project was analyzed for modeling and regional emissions analysis

Fiscal Impact

This amendment includes changes to existing projects, deletion of existing projects, and addition of new projects. Individual project changes are addressed in Chapter 2 of this document.

In terms of overall impact on the RTP/SCS Financial Plan, there was a net cost decrease of \$280 million to the 2012–2035 RTP/SCS Financial Plan from changes to existing projects, project deletions, and new projects. A summary of these changes are broken down by county in the below table (see first three rows in table below).

Any net cost increases to the RTP/SCS Financial Plan are being funded by the identified sources broken down by county (see table below) which are in addition to 2012–2035 RTP/SCS forecasted revenues.

Based on review of the funding considerations for each project documented herein, SCAG finds that this amendment does not adversely impact the financial constraint of the 2012–2035 RTP/SCS. The RTP/SCS remains financially constrained.

Fiscal Impact Summary

(Amounts in \$1,000's)	IMPERIAL COUNTY	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	ORANGE COUNTY	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	VENTURA COUNTY	SCAG REGION
Cost increases: changes to existing and new projects	\$6,080	\$126,817	\$439	\$363	\$19,806	\$0	\$153,505
Cost decreases: changes to existing projects and deleted projects	(\$13,196)	(\$60,601)	(\$354,647)	\$0	(\$5,541)	\$0	(\$443,985)
Net cost increase (decrease)	(\$7,116)	\$66,216	(\$354,208)	\$363	\$14,265	\$0	(\$280,408)
Additional funding sources:							
County sales tax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,630	\$0	\$4,630
Other local funds	\$0	\$65,257	\$0	\$363	\$9,635	\$0	\$79,255
Total sources	\$0	\$65,257	\$0	\$363	\$14,265	\$0	\$79,885

Senate Bill 375 and the Sustainable Communities Strategy

Upon the adoption of the RTP/SCS in April 2012, SCAG determined that the plan met and exceeded all of the requirements for a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) as set forth in SB 375. A description of the SCS and how the requirements are addressed is included in the adopted Plan as Chapter 4. At the time of adoption, SCAG concluded that State established greenhouse gas emission reduction targets had been met and exceeded, and the California Air Resources Board reviewed and approved this conclusion in July

2012. This Amendment to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS makes certain changes to transportation projects and other plan assumptions as described in this document. Staff has reviewed the amendment relative to the adopted plan and to the requirements of SB 375, and has determined that the RTP/SCS remains valid under SB 375 and continues to meet and exceed the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets.

Transportation Conformity

Transportation conformity is required under the Federal Clean Air Act to ensure that federally supported highway and transit project activities conform to the purpose of the State Implementation Plan (SIP). Conformity to the purpose of the SIP means that transportation activities will not cause new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the relevant National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Conformity applies to non-attainment and maintenance areas for the following transportation-related criteria pollutants: ozone, particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10), carbon monoxide (CO), and nitrogen dioxide (NO2).

Under the U.S. DOT metropolitan planning regulations and EPA's transportation conformity regulations, Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035

Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) need to pass five tests: consistency with the adopted 2012-2035 RTP/SCS, regional emissions analysis, timely implementation of transportation control measures (TCMs), financial constraint, and interagency consultation and public involvement.

The findings of the conformity determination for Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP are presented below. Details of the regional emissions analysis follow the findings.

Conformity Findings

SCAG's findings for the approval of Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP are as follows:

- Consistency with 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Test
 Inclusion of the amended projects in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and 2013 FTIP would not change any other policies, programs or projects in the federally approved 2012-2035 RTP/SCS.
 - Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP are consistent with the federally approved 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and meet all federal and state requirements and regulations.
- Regional Emissions Tests
 - Finding: The regional emissions analyses for Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP update the regional emissions analyses for the federally approved 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and 2013 FTIP.
 - Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions analysis for PM2.5 and its precursors meet all applicable emission budget tests for all milestone, attainment, and planning horizon years in the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB).

- o Finding: For the 1997 ozone national ambient air quality standards, Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions for ozone precursors meet all applicable emission budget tests for all milestone, attainment, and planning horizon years for the SCAB, South Central Coast Air Basin ([SCCAB], Ventura County portion), Western Mojave Desert Air Basin ([MDAB], Los Angeles County Antelope Valley portion and San Bernardino County western portion of MDAB), and the Salton Sea Air Basin ([SSAB], Riverside County Coachella Valley and Imperial County portions).
- Finding: For the 2008 ozone national ambient air quality standards, Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions for ozone precursors meet all applicable emission budget tests for all milestone, attainment, and planning horizon years for the Morongo Band of Mission Indians (Morongo), Pechanga Band of Luiseno Mission Indians of the Pechanga Reservation (Pechanga), SCAB excluding Morongo and

- Pechanga, South Central Coast Air Basin ([SCCAB], Ventura County portion), Western Mojave Desert Air Basin ([MDAB], Los Angeles County Antelope Valley portion and San Bernardino County western portion of MDAB), and the Salton Sea Air Basin ([SSAB], Riverside County Coachella Valley and Imperial County portions).
- Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions for NO2 meet all applicable emission budget tests for all milestone, attainment, and planning horizon years in the SCAB.
- Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions for CO meet all applicable emission budget tests for all milestone, attainment, and planning horizon years in SCAB.
- o Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions for PM10 and its precursors meet all applicable emission budget tests for all milestone, attainment, and planning horizon years in SCAB and the SSAB (Riverside County Coachella Valley portion).
- O Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions for PM10 meet the interim emission test (build/no-build test) for all milestone, attainment and planning horizon years for the MDAB (San Bernardino County portion excluding Searles Valley portion) and Searles Valley portion of San Bernardino County) and for the SSAB (Imperial County portion).

Regional Emissions Analysis

The following tables summarize the required regional emission analyses for each of the non-attainment and maintenance areas within SCAG's jurisdiction. For those areas which require budget tests, the emissions values in the tables below utilize the rounding convention used by California Air Resources Board to set the budgets (i.e., any fraction

Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP regional emissions analysis for PM2.5 and its precursors meet the interim emission test (build/no-build test) for all milestone, attainment and planning horizon years for the SSAB (urbanized area of Imperial County portion).

• Timely Implementation of TCMs Test

 Finding: Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP does not revise or otherwise alter the scope, schedule, funding priority, or implementation of any TCM.

Financial Constraint Test

 Finding: All projects listed in Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP are financially constrained for all fiscal years. Fiscal constraint is analyzed in the Fiscal Impact chapter of this report.

Interagency Consultation and Public Involvement Test

Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #13-04 to the 2013 FTIP comply with all federal requirements for interagency consultation and public involvement. The Amendments were discussed at the Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG), which includes representatives from the federal, state, and local air quality and transportation agencies, on six occasions (September 25, October 23, November 27, 2012; January 22, February 26, and March 26, 2013). The draft conformity analysis is scheduled to be released for a 30-day public review by April 9, 2013 and a public hearing is scheduled to be held on April 17, 2013.

rounded up to the nearest ton), and are the basis of the conformity findings for these areas. For paved road dust (PM2.5 and PM10), SCAG used the approved South Coast AQMD methodology, which uses EPA's AP-42 for the updated Base Year and a combination of additional growth in center-line miles and VMT for future years.

South Central Coast Air Basin - Ventura County Portion

Table 1. 1997 And 2008 8-Hour Ozone (Summer Planning Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2021	2030	2035
ROG	Budget	13	13	13	13
	Plan	9	7	5	5
	Budget – Plan	4	6	8	8
NOx	Budget	19	19	19	19
	Plan	14	9	6	6
	Budget – Plan	5	10	13	13

South Coast Air Basin

Table 2. 1997 8-Hour Ozone (Summer Planning Emissions [Tons/Day])

Po	ollutant	2014	2017	2020	2023	2030	2035
	Budget	136	119	108	99	99	99
ROG	Plan ^b	128	112 ^a	100	91	76	68
	Budget – Plan	8	7	8	8	23	31
NOx	Budget	277	224	185	140	140	140
	Plan ^b	262	210 ^a	164	126	109	103
	Budget – Plan	15	14	21	14	31	37

^a2017 interpolated between 2014 and 2018

^b Including baseline adjustments provided by ARB.

Table 3. 2008 8-Hour Ozone (Summer Planning Emissions [Tons/Day])

Р	ollutant	Nonattainment Area	2014	2017	2018	2020	2021	2023	2032	2035
	Budget	SCAB	136	119	119	108	108	99	99	99
		Morongo	0.4	0.4 ^a	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
		Pechanga ^b	0.0	0.0 ^a	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ROG Plan	Plan	SCAB excluding Morongo and Pechanga	141.5	123.8 ^a	117.9	108.4	104.8	97.6	78.4	73.5
		Adjustments provided by ARB	-14.8	-12.4	-11.3	-9.6	-8.7	-7.7	-5.9	-5.7
		Sum	127.1	111.8	107.0	99.1	96.4	90.2	72.7	68.0
		SCAB	128	112	107	100	97	91	73	68
	Budget – Plan		8	7	12	8	11	8	26	31
	Budget	SCAB	277	224	224	185	185	140	140	140
		Morongo	1.8	1.5 ^a	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8
		Pechanga ^b	0.0	0.0 ^a	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NOx	Plan	SCAB excluding Morongo and Pechanga	283.2	228.1 ^a	209.7	177.8	168.4	156.7	122.3	116.8
, i.o.x		Adjustments provided by ARB	-23.7	-19.8	-16.7	-15.7	-20.0	-32.0	-16.8	-15.0
		Sum	261.4	209.8	194.4	163.1	149.5	125.7	106.4	102.6
		SCAB	262	210	195	164	150	126	107	103
	Budget – Plan		15	14	29	21	35	14	33	37

^a2017 interpolated between 2014 and 2018.

Table 4. PM2.5 (24-Hour Emissions [Tons/Day])

Ро	llutant	2014	2020	2030	2035
	Budget	132	132	132	132
ROG	Plan ^a	124	96	73	66
	Budget – Plan	8	36	59	66
	Budget	290	290	290	290
NOx	Plan ^a	275	168	114	108
	Budget – Plan	15	122	176	182
	Budget	35	35	35	35
PM2.5	Plan	21	23	23	23
	Budget – Plan	14	12	12	12

^a Including baseline adjustments provided by ARB.

b less than 0.05 tons/day.

Table 5. PM10 (24-Hour Emissions [Tons/Day])

Ро	llutant	2014	2020	2030	2035
	Budget	251	251	251	251
ROG	Plan ^a	124	96	73	66
	Budget – Plan	127	155	178	185
	Budget	549	549	549	549
NOx	Plan ^a	275	168	114	108
	Budget – Plan	274	381	435	441
	Budget	166	166	166	166
PM10	Plan	79	79	85	87
	Budget – Plan	87	87	81	79

^a Including baseline adjustments provided by ARB.

On March 22, 2013, EPA Regional Administrator, Jared Blumenfeld, signed a proposed rule approving the South Coast PM10 maintenance plan and the associated motor vehicle emissions budgets. Table 6 below is for information purposes only since the proposed new budgets have not been finalized by EPA. If the new PM10 budgets are approved by EPA as proposed, Table 6 will supersede Table 5 above.

Table 6. PM10 (24-Hour Emissions [Tons/Day]) with New PM10 Budgets Proposed by EPA in April 2013 (pending EPA approval)

P	ollutant	2014	2020	2030	2035
	Budget	182	110	81	81
ROG	Plan ^a	124	96	73	66
	Budget – Plan	58	14	8	15
	Budget	372	180	116	116
NOx	Plan ^a	275	168	114	108
	Budget – Plan	97	12	2	8
	Budget	159	164	175	175
PM10	Plan	79	79	85	87
	Budget – Plan	80	85	90	88

^a Including baseline adjustments provided by ARB.

Table 7. CO (Winter Emissions [tons/day])

Pollutant		2015	2020	2030	2035
	Budget	2,137	2,137	2,137	2,137
СО	Plan	1,208	871	593	522
	Budget – Plan	929	1,266	1,544	1,615

Table 8. NO2 (Winter Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2020	2030	2035
NO2	Budget	680	680	680	680
	Plan	311	194	136	125
	Budget – Plan	369	486	544	555

Western Mojave Desert Air Basin - Los Angeles County (Antelope Valley Portion) and San Bernardino County (Western Portion of MDAB)

Table 9. 1997 and 2008 8-Hour Ozone (Summer Planning Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pol	Pollutant		2020	2027	2035
ROG	Budget	22	22	22	22
	Plan	13	10	9	8
	Budget – Plan	9	12	13	14
	Budget	77	77	77	77
NOx	Plan	34	24	21	22
	Budget – Plan	43	53	56	55

Mojave Desert Air Basin - San Bernardino County Portion Excluding Searles Valley

Table 10. PM10 (24-Hour Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2020	2030	2035
PM10	No Build	9.7	9.9	11.7	12.7
	Build	9.0	9.5	11.2	12.0
	No Build – Build	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.7

Mojave Desert Air Basin - Searles Valley portion of San Bernardino County

Table 11. PM10 (24-Hour Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2020	2030	2035
PM10	No Build	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Build	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	No Build – Build	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Salton Sea Air Basin - Riverside County Coachella Valley Portion

Table 12. 1997 and 2008 8-Hour Ozone (Summer Planning Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2020	2027	2035
ROG	Budget	7	7	7	7
	Plan	6	5	4	4
	Budget – Plan	1	2	3	3
NOx	Budget	26	26	26	26
	Plan	18	12	11	11
	Budget – Plan	8	14	15	15

Table 13. PM10 (24-Hour Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2020	2030	2035
PM10	Budget ^a	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9
	Plan	8.9	8.6	8.8	9.1
	Budget – Plan	2.0	2.3	2.1	1.8

^a Budget set to one decimal place by 2003 Coachella SIP.

Salton Sea Air Basin - Imperial County Portion

Table 14. 1997 and 2008 Ozone (Summer Planning Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2015	2020	2030	2035
ROG	Budget	7	7	7	7
	Plan	5	4	4	4
	Budget – Plan	2	3	3	3
NOx	Budget	17	17	17	17
	Plan	12	9	9	10
	Budget – Plan	5	8	8	7

Table 15. PM2.5 (24-Hour Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2020	2030	2035
PM2.5	No Build	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
	Build	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8
	No Build – Build	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0

Table 16. PM10 (24-HOUR Emissions [Tons/Day])

Pollutant		2014	2020	2030	2035
PM2.5	No Build	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.8
	Build	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4
	No Build – Build	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4

Addendum to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Program Environmental Impact Report

Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) proposes to amend the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). The RTP is a long-range vision for regional transportation investments. Using growth forecasts and economic trends, the RTP considers the role of transportation relative to economic factors, environmental issues and quality-of-life goals, and provides an opportunity to identify transportation strategies today that address mobility needs for the future. The RTP is updated every four years to reflect changes in economic trends, state and federal requirements, progress made on projects, and adjustments for population and jobs. The SCS, a new element of the RTP pursuant to SB375, integrates land use, transportation strategies, and transportation investments within the Plan.

The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List (hereafter referred to as "Project List") contains more than 3,600 of individual transportation projects that aim to improve the region's mobility and air quality, and revitalize the economy and includes, but is not limited to, highway improvements such as mixed flow lanes, interchanges, ramps, high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, toll lanes, and arterials; transit improvements such as bus, bus rapid transit (BRT) and various rail upgrades; high speed regional transport (HSRT); and goods movement strategies. Although the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS has a long-term time horizon under which projects are planned and proposed to be implemented, federal and state mandates ensure that the Plan is both flexible and responsive in the near term. Therefore, the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS is regarded as both a long-term regional transportation blueprint and as a dynamic planning tool subject to ongoing refinement and modification.

Since the adoption of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS in April 2012, SCAG has received requests from several county transportation commissions to amend the Plan to reflect additions or changes to project scopes, costs, and/or schedule for a number of transportation projects contained therein (proposed 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1).

As the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Cal. Pub. Res. Code Section 21000 et seq.), SCAG prepared the Final RTP/SCS Program EIR (PEIR) for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS to evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and to identify practical and feasible mitigation measures.

As is appropriate for a program EIR, the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR focuses on a region-wide assessment of existing conditions and potential impacts as well as broad policy alternatives and programwide mitigation measures (CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(b)(4)). Pursuant to Section 15152 of the CEQA Guidelines, subsequent environmental analyses for separate, but related, future projects may tier off the analysis contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The CEQA Guidelines do not require a Program EIR to specifically list all subsequent activities that may be within its scope. For large scale planning approvals (such as the RTP/SCS), where site-specific EIRs or negative declarations will subsequently be prepared for specific projects broadly identified within a Program EIR, the sitespecific analysis can be deferred until the project level environmental document is prepared (Sections 15168 and 15152) provided deferral does not prevent adequate identification of significant effects of the planning approval at hand.

In sum, the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR serves as an informational document to inform decision-makers and the public of the potential environmental consequences of approving the proposed Plan by analyzing the projects and programs on a broad regional scale, not at a site-specific level of analysis. Site specific analysis will occur as each project is defined and goes through individual project review.

The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR was certified on April 4, 2012; This Addendum to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR has been prepared to address proposed updates and revisions to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List.

Basis for the Addendum

When an EIR has been certified and the project is modified or otherwise changed after certification, additional CEQA review may be necessary. The key considerations in determining the need for the appropriate type of additional CEQA review are outlined in Section 21166 of the Public Resources Code (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162, 15163 and 15164.

Specifically, CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a) provides that a Subsequent EIR is not required unless the following occurs:

- (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
- (2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
- (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence, at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete, shows any of the following:
 - a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR;
 - Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;
 - c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or

d. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

An Addendum to an EIR may be prepared by the Lead Agency that prepared the original EIR if some changes or additions are necessary, but none of the conditions have occurred requiring preparation of a Subsequent EIR (Section 15164(a)). An Addendum must include a brief explanation of the agency's decision not to prepare a Subsequent EIR and be supported by substantial evidence in the record as a whole (Section 15164(e)). The Addendum to the EIR need not be circulated for public review but it may be included in or attached to the Final EIR (Section 15164(c)). The decision-making body must consider the Addendum to the EIR prior to making a decision on the project (15164(d)).

An Addendum to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR is appropriate to address the proposed changes in Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS because the proposed revisions do not meet the conditions of Section 15162(a) for preparation of a subsequent EIR. Neither the proposed new projects or changes to existing projects would result in 1) substantial changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS which will require major revisions of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR; 2) substantial changes to the circumstances under which the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS is being undertaken which will require major revisions in the 2012 PEIR; or 3) new information of substantial importance showing significant effects not previously examined.

While the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List may arguably represent "New information of substantial importance..." at the local level, these changes are not substantial at the regional level as analyzed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. More specifically, the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List would not result in one or more significant effects (at

the regional level) not discussed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR, nor result in impacts that are substantially more severe than shown in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Moreover, no changes to the mitigation measures contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR are necessary or being proposed that could trigger additional review regarding such measures. Furthermore, as discussed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR, the level of detail for individual projects on the RTP/SCS Project List is generally insufficient to be able to analyze local effects. Such analysis is more appropriately undertaken in Tier 2, project-specific environmental documents prepared by the individual agencies proposing each project. In sum, the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List, contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 do not result in any of the conditions described in CEQA section 15162(a). For these reasons.

SCAG has elected to prepare an addendum to the 2012 PEIR rather than a subsequent EIR.

SCAG has assessed the additional and modified projects at the programmatic level, and finds that the projects identified in Amendment #1 are consistent with the analysis, mitigation measures, and Findings of Fact contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Further, SCAG finds that the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would not result in a substantial change to the region-wide impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. As such, SCAG has prepared this Addendum to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Project Description

The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS includes approximately 3,600 projects with completion dates spread over a 23 year time period. Proposed 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 includes 43 projects, or approximately 1% of the total 2012-2035 RTP/SCS projects. The amendment proposes revisions (scope, schedule, or costs) to thirty projects already included in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and also includes seven new projects. The new projects include transit,

freeway, and arterial projects, none of which are different than the types of projects already included in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and analyzed in the PEIR.

The revised 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List can be found in section 2 of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Analysis of Impacts

The changes described above to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would not result in a substantial change to the region-wide impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR broadly identifies a number of region-wide significant impacts that would result from the numerous transportation policies and projects encompassed by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS.

The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR presents analysis at the programmatic level of various types of projects, including both modifications to the existing system as well as new systems such as new highway and transit facilities, goods movement roadway facilities, rail corridors, flyovers, interchanges, and High-Speed Rail.

Although the new projects identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 were not identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR, SCAG has assessed these additional projects at the programmatic

level and finds that they are consistent with the scope, goals, and policies contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and with the analysis and conclusions presented in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Further, each project will be fully assessed at the project-level by the implementing agency in accordance with CEQA, NEPA, and all other applicable regulations.

No changes to the mitigation measures contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR are necessary or proposed. SCAG has determined that

the changes and additions identified above would result in impacts that would fall within the range of impacts identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Therefore, no substantial physical impacts to the environment beyond those already anticipated and documented in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR are anticipated to result from the changes and additions identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1.

Aesthetics and Views

The proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are not expected to cause significant adverse impacts to aesthetics or views beyond those already described in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Significant impacts anticipated in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR would be the substantial degradation of the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings, adverse effects on a scenic vista, damage to scenic resources, creating a new source of substantial light affecting day or nighttime views, and affecting shadow-sensitive uses that would be shaded by a project-related structure for more than three hours in the winter or for more than four hours during the summer (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.1-8 – 3.1-18).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increases in aesthetic or view impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Air Quality

The proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are not expected to cause additional significant air quality impacts beyond those already identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR identified significant and unavoidable impacts to regional air quality, cancer risk increases, and short-term air emissions from implementation of the RTP/SCS (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.2-21 – 3.2-41). Nevertheless, both the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and Amendment #1 meet the regional emissions and other

tests set forth by the federal Transportation Conformity regulations, demonstrating the integrity of the State Implementation Plans prepared pursuant to the federal Clean Air Act for the nonattainment and maintenance areas in the SCAG region. The updated conformity analysis can be found in section 3 of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects

(as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increases in air quality impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Biological Resources

The proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are consistent with the findings of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR analysis of biological resources. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR concluded that significant impacts expected with the implementation of the RTP/SCS includes the disturbance and removal of natural vegetation that may be utilized by sensitive species, habitat fragmentation and associated decrease in habitat quality, litter, trampling, light pollution and road noise, displacement of riparian and wetland habitat, siltation, loss of prime farmlands, grazing lands, open space and recreation lands. (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.3-39 – 3.3-59).

Detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by each implementing agency for each individual project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, the incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increases in region-wide biological impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Cultural Resources

The proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would result in impacts consistent with the findings of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR on cultural resources. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR determined that the development of new transportation facilities may affect archaeological and paleontological resources, primarily through the disturbance of buried resources. Additionally, the development of new transportation facilities may affect historic architectural resources (structures 50 years or older), either through direct affects to buildings within the proposed project area, or through indirect affects to the area surrounding a resource if it creates a visually incompatible structure adjacent to a historic structure (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.4-18 - 3.4-27).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, the incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increases in region-wide cultural resource impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources

Potential impacts on geology, soils, and mineral resources resulting from the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would be consistent with the findings of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR identified that damage to transportation infrastructure can result from geologic and seismic activity, such as surface rupture, ground shaking, subsidence, liquefaction, soil expansion and land-sliding. In addition work associated with implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS could cause impacts such as soil erosion, ground instability and loss of mineral resources. However, incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with geological safety and mineral loss (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.5-14 – 3.5-23).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, the incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increase in region-wide geology, soils, or mineral resource impacts programmatically addressed in the 20012 PEIR.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are not expected to cause additional significant greenhouse gas emission impacts beyond those already identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Though lead agencies retain the discretion to determine thresholds of significance of GHG emissions, the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR identifies three thresholds of significance: increase in GHG emissions compared to existing conditions, conflict with SB 375 GHG emission reduction targets, and conflict with other applicable GHG reduction plans. Both the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and proposed Amendment #1 achieve and exceed the SB 375 per capita GHG reduction targets for the SCAG region.

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increase in greenhouse gas emissions impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Hazardous Materials

Potential impacts on hazardous materials from the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would be consistent with the findings of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR concluded that the RTP/SCS would improvement the movement of goods, including hazardous materials, through the region. The potential significant impacts include potential hazards created due to the disturbance of contaminated property during implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and risk of accidental releases due to an increase in the transportation of hazardous materials and the potential for such releases to reach schools within one-quarter mile of transportation facilities affected by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.7-8 – 3.7-18).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increases in region-wide hazardous materials impacts beyond those identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Land Use and Agricultural Resources

Potential impacts to land use that could result from the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS-Project List contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are anticipated to result in impacts consistent with the findings of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR analyzed potential impacts of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS on land use consistency and compatibility. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR concluded that the RTP/SCS would affect land use patterns and the consumption of agricultural land and forest resources. Expected significant impacts include substantial land use density growth in areas adjacent to transit, separation of residences from community facilities and services and impacts on vacant natural lands (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.8-11 - 3.8-27). However, the assessment in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS EIR adequately

evaluates these impacts at the programmatic level and includes mitigation measures.

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increases in region-wide land use and agricultural resource impacts beyond those identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Noise

Potential noise impacts from the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 201 RTP/SCS Amendment

#1 are anticipated to be consistent with the findings of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR for noise. The projects could potentially cause

temporary or permanent increases in ambient noise levels and expose noise-sensitive land uses to noise increases in excess of acceptable levels. However, the assessment in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR Noise Chapter adequately evaluates these impacts at the programmatic level and includes mitigation measures to be implemented at the project level (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.9-13 – 3.9-26). Impacts from the proposed project identified in this Amendment would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increase in region-wide noise impacts beyond those identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Population, Housing and Employment

Potential impacts to population, housing, and employment from the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are anticipated to be consistent with the findings for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR analyzed potential impacts to population growth and current residential and business land uses that could occur upon implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR concluded that the RTP would result in significant impacts including substantial population growth in areas adjacent to transit, displacement of existing businesses and homes, separation of residences from community facilities and services, and impacts on vacant natural lands. Also indirectly, population distribution is expected to occur due to the transportation

investments and land use policies identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.10-6 – 3.10-13).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Therefore, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increase in region-wide population, employment, and housing impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Public Services and Utilities

The potential impacts from the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 20012 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are anticipated to be within the range of, and consistent with the findings of, the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR for public services and utilities of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Anticipated significant cumulative impacts include demand for more police, fire,

emergency personnel and facilities; demand for more school facilities and teachers; demand for additional solid waste services, and increased potential of encountering and severing utility lines during implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.11-45 – 3.11-56).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects

(as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increase in region-wide public service or utilities impacts beyond those identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Transportation, Traffic and Security

Proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are not expected to cause significant adverse impacts on region-wide transportation beyond what was analyzed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR utilized data from the Regional Travel Demand Model to present a regional analysis for the impacts of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS on transportation. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR identifies the following significant impacts from implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS: increased Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT); greater average daily Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) for heavy-duty truck trips; increased percentage of work opportunities within a 45 minute travel time; and lower system-wide fatality accident rate and injury accident rate in the SCAG region (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.12-23 – 3.12-45).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level.. Therefore, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increase in region-wide transportation, traffic, and security impacts programmatically addressed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Water Resources

The potential impacts from the proposed changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are anticipated to be within the range of, and consistent with the findings of, the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR on water resources. The 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR identified degradation of surface water quality, potential reduction of groundwater infiltration; increased flooding hazards; and potentially increase demand for water supply and associated infrastructure (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 3.13-25 – 3.13-48).

Detailed project level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from the proposed projects (as revised by the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendments #1) at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List would not result in any significant new or increase in region-wide water resource impacts beyond those identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Comparison of Alternatives

The proposed changes to the Project List identified in 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would not significantly affect the comparison of alternatives in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Amendment #1 to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS is within the scope of the programmatic-level comparison among the alternatives considered in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR: 1) No Project; 2) Modified 2008 RTP

Alternative; and 3) Envision 2 Alternative. The analysis in the Alternatives chapter of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR would not be significantly affected by the inclusion of the projects identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1. Therefore, no further comparison is required at the programmatic level.

Long Term Effects

The changes to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would result in impacts within the scope of the discussion presented in the long-term effects chapter of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR, which includes an assessment of programmatic level unavoidable impacts, irreversible impacts, growth inducing impacts, and cumulative impacts (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 5-1-5-4). Unavoidable and irreversible impacts from the inclusion of the proposed changes to the Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 are reasonably covered by the unavoidable and irreversible impacts previously discussed in the certified 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Any growth impacts are expected to be approximately equivalent to those previously disclosed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR (2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR pp. 5-1 – 5-4). Overall, the proposed changes to the Project List presented in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1, are within the scope of the broad, programmatic-level impacts identified and disclosed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. Thus, the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would result in impacts consistent with the findings on long-term effects analysis contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Findings

After completing a programmatic environmental assessment of the proposed changes described herein to the Project List, SCAG finds that the proposed changes identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 would not result in either new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of any previously identified significant effect. The proposed changes are not substantial changes on a regional level as appropriately analyzed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. The proposed changes to the Project List do not require revisions to the programmatic, region-wide analysis presented in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR.

Further, SCAG finds that the proposed changes to the Project List identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 do not

significantly affect the comparison of regional alternatives or the potential significant impacts previously disclosed in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR. As such, SCAG has assessed the proposed changes to the Project List included in 2012-2035 RTP/SCS Amendment #1 at the programmatic level, and finds that inclusion of the proposed changes would be consistent with the analysis and mitigation measures contained in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR, as well as the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations made in connection with the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Therefore, a subsequent or supplemental EIR is not required and SCAG concludes that this Addendum to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS PEIR fulfills the requirements of CEQA.

Public Review and Comment

SCAG is required to provide a 30-day public review and comment period for the Draft Amendment. A Notice of Availability and Public Hearing, and the Draft Amendment will be posted on SCAG's website at http://scag.ca.gov. Written comments will be accepted until 5:00PM on Thursday, May 9, 2013, via US mail or email to:

Southern California Association of Governments Attention: Margaret Lin 818 West Seventh Street, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 or to lin@scag.ca.gov A public hearing will also be held at SCAG's Main Office in Los Angeles on Wednesday, April 17, 2013, at 10:00AM and was accessible via videoconference at SCAG's regional offices throughout the region.

SCAG has fully coordinated this Amendment with the regional stakeholders through SCAG's committee structure. Specifically, staff provided periodic reports regarding this Amendment to the Transportation Committee (TC) and Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG).

Conclusion

This Amendment maintains the integrity of the transportation conformity findings of the adopted 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. This Amendment also remains valid under SB 375 and continues to meet and exceed the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets. Furthermore, the PEIR Addendum associated with this Amendment concludes that the proposed project changes would not result in either new significant environmental effects or a substantial

increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Appropriate and adequate procedures have been followed in ensuring coordination of this Amendment, allowing all concerned parties, stakeholders, and the public ample opportunities to voice concern and provide input. In conclusion, this Amendment to the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS complies with all applicable federal and state requirements, including the Transportation Conformity Rule.

DATE: April 4, 2013

TO: Transportation Committee (TC)

FROM: Hon. Barbara Messina, Chair, Goods Movement Subcommittee

SUBJECT: Goods Movement Subcommittee Recommendations

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL: Hosailehull

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the Goods Movement Subcommittee recommendations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Goods Movement Subcommittee (Subcommittee) has held six (6) meetings since September 2012. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS. This report presents the Goods Movement Subcommittee's recommendations.

STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG's Strategic Plan, Goal 1: Improve regional decision-making providing leadership and consensus building on key plans and policies.

BACKGROUND:

At its April 5, 2012 meeting, the Regional Council (RC) approved the formation of six (6) Subcommittees as part of the implementation strategy for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Charters for each Subcommittee were approved by the RC at its July 5, 2012 meeting. SCAG President Glen Becerra appointed to each of the Subcommittees both RC and Policy Committee members, representing the six SCAG counties, as Subcommittee members. Hon. Becerra also appointed representatives from the private sector (including non-profit organizations) and stakeholder groups as ex-officio members. The Active Transportation Subcommittee, Goods Movement Subcommittee, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee, and Transportation Finance Subcommittee report to the Transportation Committee (TC). The Public Health Subcommittee reports to the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC). The Sustainability Subcommittee reports to the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee (CEHD).

The Goods Movement Subcommittee began meeting in September 2012 and held a total of six meetings. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee's area of focus to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS.

On February 25, 2013, the Subcommittee approved the recommendations and took action to forward these recommendations to TC for review and recommended approval by the Regional Council. The recommendations are attached with this report and pending input from TC, it is anticipated that these



recommendations will be presented at the Regional Conference and General Assembly May 2-3, 2013 and to the Regional Council at its June 6, 2013 meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds related to the work of the Goods Movement Subcommittee are included in the FY 2012-2013 Budget.

ATTACHMENT:

Goods Movement Subcommittee Recommendations



Goods Movement Subcommittee Recommendations

Over the course of five (5) subcommittee meetings convened to date from September 2012 to February 2013, the Goods Movement Subcommittee engaged in dialogue with key experts and addressed critical emerging and long-term issues impacting goods movement, including:

- Reviewed goods movement strategies included the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and the Comprehensive Goods Movement Plan and Implementation Strategy;
- Discussed the magnitude and importance of Imperial County's international land border crossing for freight;
- Reviewed goods movement market segmentations and the economics of goods movement;
- Discussed Goods Movement Environmental Action Plan and regional zero-emission goods movement demonstration efforts;
- Discussed potential strategies for funding goods movement initiatives; and
- Reviewed regional efforts to establish a zero-emission freight corridor, along the I-710 and East-West Freight Corridor.

The following staff recommendations reflect the dialogue of the subcommittee meetings along with input provided by ex-officio members and stakeholders. These staff recommendations are intended to further facilitate implementation of the adopted 2012-2035 RTP/SCS goods movement strategies—strategies also incorporated into SCAG's recent publication of the Comprehensive Regional Goods Movement Plan and Implementation Strategy.

These staff recommendations are intended to further lay the groundwork for developing the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. With recent emphasis on the development of a national freight policy, these recommendations are anticipated to further complement efforts related to implementation of MAP-21 freight provisions and will serve as critical input into staff work programs designed to meet implementation milestones.

- 1. Facilitate implementation of MAP-21 freight provisions—including participation in national freight network designation, state freight plan and national freight plan development.
 - Collaborate with regional, state and federal partners on implementation of MAP-21 freight provisions, including analyses and recommendations pertaining to the national freight network designation and development of both state and national freight plans.
 - Provide analytical support, share data of critical importance to Southern California's freight needs, and incorporate SCAG's Comprehensive Regional Goods Movement Plan and Implementation Strategy (2012-2035 RTP/SCS goods movement strategies) into the California Freight Mobility Plan.

• Continue to promote SCAG's Comprehensive Regional Goods Movement Plan and Implementation Strategy—throughout California and nationally—to emphasize the importance of continued investment in Southern California's goods movement system.

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Active staff participation in partnerships to implement MAP-21 freight provisions, including technical advisory committees and working groups, as appropriate. Staff also anticipates continued participation in national freight dialogues and forums.

- 2. Facilitate implementation of freight initiatives identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS—including monitoring of emerging supply chain trends to adapt key infrastructure strategies as needed.
 - Collaborate with SANDAG and other regional partners on continuing analyses and understanding of international land border crossing freight distribution patterns.
 - Collaborate with regional partners to continue to evaluate domestic trade flows and local distribution activities—particularly as it relates to the East West Freight Corridor.
 - Collaborate with LA Metro, SANBAG, and other regional partners to pursue further feasibility work on the East West Freight Corridor, as identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS.

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Continue to meet and collaborate with industry stakeholders and other regional partners to monitor and refine as needed, the regional goods movement initiatives identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Specifically, key next steps for the EWFC include continued feasibility assessments and preliminary design work conducted jointly with regional partners.

- 3. Continue to promote and seek on-going partnerships with regional partners to further advance deployment of near-zero and zero emission goods movement strategies.
 - Continue to support and seek opportunities to demonstrate viable (viability includes emission reducing, cost-effective, and safe) near-zero and zero-emission goods movement technologies as identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS—in collaboration with regional partners, including the South Coast Air Quality Management District.
 - Continue to engage with regional partners, including the Los Angeles County Zero-Emission Collaborative, to identify opportunities for further research, development, demonstration, and deployment of zero-emission technologies for the regional freight corridor.
 - Continue to support and seek funding opportunities for zero-emission goods movement initiatives, including California's Cap-and-Trade auction proceeds.

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Actively participate in regional partnerships and continue to pursue environmental action plan steps identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS.

DATE: April 4, 2013

TO: Transportation Committee (TC)

FROM: Hon. Karen Spiegel, Chair, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee

SUBJECT: High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee Recommendations

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL: | Jensey Wehall

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee recommendations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee has held six(6) meetings since October 2012. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS. This report presents the High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee's recommendations.

STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG's Strategic Plan, Goal 1: Improve regional decision-making providing leadership and consensus building on key plans and policies.

BACKGROUND:

At its April 5, 2012 meeting, the Regional Council (RC) approved the formation of six (6) Subcommittees as part of the implementation strategy for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Charters for each Subcommittee were approved by the RC at its July 5, 2012 meeting. SCAG President Glen Becerra appointed to each of the Subcommittees both RC and Policy Committee members, representing the six SCAG counties, as Subcommittee members. Hon. Becerra also appointed representatives from the private sector (including non-profit organizations) and stakeholder groups as ex-officio members. The Active Transportation Subcommittee, Goods Movement Subcommittee, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee, and Transportation Finance Subcommittee report to the Transportation Committee (TC). The Public Health Subcommittee reports to the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC). The Sustainability Subcommittee reports to the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee (CEHD).

The High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee began meeting in October 2012 and held a total of six meetings. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee's area of focus to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS.

On February 15, 2013, the Subcommittee approved the recommendations and took action to forward these recommendations to TC for review and recommended approval by the Regional Council. The



recommendations are attached with this report and pending input from TC, it is anticipated that these recommendations will be presented at the Regional Conference and General Assembly May 2-3, 2013 and to the Regional Council at its June 6, 2013 meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds related to the work of the High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee are included in the FY 2012-2013 Budget.

ATTACHMENT:

High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee Recommendations



High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee Recommendations

Goals and guiding policies were included in the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). In order to assist in meeting the goals and guiding policies, six (6) subcommittees were convened to help guide SCAG as it implements the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and begins to lay the foundation for the 2016 RTP/SCS. These six subcommittees focus on different components that were strongly advocated for during the development of the last RTP/SCS.

Over the course of six (6) meetings held from October 2012 to February 2013, the High Speed Rail and Transit (HSR&T) Subcommittee considered and discussed issues that included: new requirements under Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21); ongoing state rail efforts such as the High Speed Rail Program and State Rail Plan; regional efforts to implement smart fare media, address first mile/last mile needs, and support transit investments and economic development with transit-oriented land uses; and regional emergency preparedness strategies.

The following recommendations represent the output of discussions held at the six meetings of the HSR&T Subcommittee and are consistent with the findings of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. These recommendations are intended to strengthen the implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and development of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. These recommendations are not final, but rather will be taken to the Transportation Committee and then to the Regional Council for review and approval.

Regional Rail Vision

- Develop and refine a coordinated regional rail vision element for inclusion in the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS update. The regional rail vision will build upon current and future statewide and regional efforts as follows.
- Continue coordination with the California High Speed Rail Authority and the county transportation commissions on California High Speed Rail planning efforts, including the Southern California Memorandum of Understanding projects to be funded by Prop. 1A funds, and the Authority's upcoming 2014 Business Plan update. Also continue participating in other high speed rail planning efforts including Xpress West and High Desert Corridor.
- Continue coordination with the Caltrans Division of Rail on the State Rail Plan to support
 the expansion, integration, connectivity, and coordination of rail services and policies to
 provide travelers with seamless and efficient regional and inter-regional passenger rail
 transportation. The Draft State Rail Plan was released on February 8, 2013 for public
 review and comments, and is expected to be finalized by May 2013.
- Continue to support the ongoing process to facilitate local control of the Los Angeles-San Diego-San Luis Obispo (LOSSAN) Corridor Pacific Surfliner passenger rail service by the LOSSAN Corridor Rail Agency. The Agency is authorized to enter into an Interagency Transfer Agreement with the State as early as June 30, 2014.



- Build upon the freight rail analysis in SCAG's recently completed Comprehensive Regional Goods Movement Plan and Implementation Strategy to address existing and future passenger and freight rail capacity constraints and potential coordinated passenger and freight use.
- Identify and evaluate strategies and policies to optimize access to the regional rail system, coordinate inter-modal transfers, and maximize connectivity and ease of travel.

Next Steps: Continue coordination with CTCs, Caltrans, and local jurisdictions on planning and programming of 2012-2035 RTP/SCS projects and strategies, as appropriate, and continue to provide regular updates to the Transportation Committee.

Transit Best Practices

- Identify, evaluate, and refine potential transit best practices and strategies for inclusion in the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS update. This effort will build upon the issues discussed by the HSR&T Subcommittee as follows.
- Support ongoing efforts to facilitate seamless travel on the region's transit system, including the development of smart fare media and coordinated fare policies.
- Continue to work with Metro to complete the First Mile/Last Mile Strategic Plan and incorporate recommended strategies into the RTP/SCS update as appropriate.
- Review and update the Regional Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Architecture to ensure that it continues to support the development and implementation of real-time traveler information systems.
- Build upon current understanding; research to identify and evaluate cost-effective ways to improve transit service frequency and reliability; and improve fare policy and pricing strategies.
- Review the Safety and Security element of the RTP/SCS and revise as appropriate for the 2016-2040 RTP update to further address transit/rail emergency preparedness.
- Continue to work with the Regional Transit Technical Advisory Committee on developing and refining an annual transit and rail system performance report to provide a technical foundation for RTP/SCS performance analysis.

Next Steps: Identify potential research areas and resource needs for inclusion in a future Overall Work Program (OWP).

Finance Strategies

• The HSR&T Subcommittee held a joint meeting with the Transportation Finance Subcommittee to discuss financing options related to transit and high speed rail. The Transportation Finance Subcommittee will develop recommendations pertaining to multiple modes, including transit and high speed rail.

Next Steps: Pursue strategies and recommendations identified by the Transportation Finance Subcommittee.



DATE: April 4, 2013

TO: Transportation Committee (TC)

FROM: Hon. Michele Martinez, Chair, Active Transportation Subcommittee

SUBJECT: Active Transportation Subcommittee Recommendations

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL:

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the Active Transportation Subcommittee recommendations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Active Transportation Subcommittee (Subcommittee) has held six (6) meetings since October 2012. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS. This report presents the Active Transportation Subcommittee's recommendations.

STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG's Strategic Plan, Goal 1: Improve regional decision-making providing leadership and consensus building on key plans and policies.

BACKGROUND:

At its April 5, 2012 meeting, the Regional Council (RC) approved the formation of six (6) Subcommittees as part of the implementation strategy for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Charters for each Subcommittee were approved by the RC at its July 5, 2012 meeting. SCAG President Glen Becerra appointed to each of the Subcommittees both RC and Policy Committee members, representing the six SCAG counties, as Subcommittee members. Hon. Becerra also appointed representatives from the private sector (including non-profit organizations) and stakeholder groups as ex-officio members. The Active Transportation Subcommittee, Goods Movement Subcommittee, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee, and Transportation Finance Subcommittee report to the Transportation Committee (TC). The Public Health Subcommittee reports to the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC). The Sustainability Subcommittee reports to the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee (CEHD).

The Active Transportation Subcommittee began meeting in October 2012 and held a total of six meetings. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee's area of focus to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS.

On March 18, 2013, the Subcommittee as part of a joint meeting of the Active Transportation, Public Health and Sustainability Subcommittees, approved the policy recommendations and took action to



forward these recommendations to TC for review and recommended approval by the Regional Council. The recommendations are attached with this report and pending input from TC, it is anticipated that these recommendations will be presented at the Regional Conference and General Assembly May 2-3, 2013 and to the Regional Council at its June 6, 2013 meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds related to the work of the Active Transportation Subcommittee are included in the FY 2012-2013 Budget.

ATTACHMENT:

Active Transportation Subcommittee Recommendations



Active Transportation Subcommittee Recommendations

At the commencement of the Active Transportation Subcommittee, five (5) components were presented for members to consider, discuss and define for a final deliverable to the SCAG Policy Committees. The components were: definitions, needs assessments, performance measures, strategy and investments. Five subcommittee meetings and dialogue were held on the five components presented, and potential recommendations/actions were provided.

These recommendations are intended to strengthen the on-going implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and development of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. These recommendations are not final, and will be taken to Policy Committees, and to the Regional Council for deliberation and potentially for final approval.

1. Develop a definition of "Active Transportation" which recognizes the varying types and needs of active transportation users

- Existing: Active Transportation refers to transportation such as walking or using a bicycle, tricycle, velomobile, wheelchair, scooter, skates, skateboard, push scooter, trailer, hand cart, shopping car, or similar low-speed electrical devices. (*Source: 2012-2035 RTP/SCS*)
- <u>Proposed</u>: Active transportation refers to human-powered transportation and low-speed electronic assist devices for elderly and disabled. Examples include bicycle, electric assist bicycle, tricycle, wheelchair, scooter and skateboard. Excluded devices include mopeds, motorized skateboards, neighborhood electric vehicles and segways.

Next Steps: Disseminate local definition throughout the organization, and its deliberative bodies. Pending further discussion and action by TC and Regional Council, include language in drafting the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS.

2. Consider and refine the availability of data and information to evaluate the RTP/SCS and its alternatives relative to active transportation policy

- Provide the technical foundation for any potential improvements to performance measures and indicators by conducting research and identifying best methods for RTP/SCS alternatives evaluation and monitoring
- Strengthen performance indicators to facilitate measuring the benefits of active transportation development
- Expand our data collection efforts, by working with counties, cities and stakeholders to expand data collection efforts

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Identify and assist local agencies that are adopting Active Transportation plans and programs. Train local planners through SCAG Programs.

3. Develop, with partner agencies, a methodology for selecting and prioritizing regionally supported active transportation projects

- Continue to work with local jurisdictions in coordinating and integrating active transportation data and plans
- Support the development of cost effectiveness data and methodology to determine which projects may have the greatest benefit/cost
- Work with partner stakeholders in Public Health, Land-Use Planning, Environmental Quality and Habitat Conservation to further enhance active transportation options
- Support implementation of active transportation infrastructure, including bike racks, signals, wayfinding signage, bikeshare as appropriate

Next Steps: Continue to work with partners to develop methodologies that may determine active transportation demand (e.g. walkscore/bikescore) and benefits of projects.

4. Seek opportunities to promote and support transportation investments with an active transportation component

- Support regulatory framework that considers active transportation an integral part of all transportation planning and development
- Support regulatory framework that considers active transportation an integral part of land-use planning and development
- Support and promote the consideration and accommodation of active transportation users, particularly in underserved communities, in all transportation projects, where applicable
- Support goals and principles of Complete Streets recognizing context of local land-uses
- Support and seek opportunities to promote and implement safety in active transportation
- Continue to support research, and/or development of best practices to justify investment in active transportation
- Support and seek opportunities to increase active transportation funding (including, but not limited to Safe Routes to School, Cap and Trade, River Parkway Grants, regional trails, legislative strategies and other public and private grant opportunities)
- Seek opportunities to streamline environmental review of active transportation projects

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Develop cost effective investments and strategies that promote active transportation as part of 2016 RTP/SCS development process, subject to further stakeholder input and technical review, and work with transportation finance division to quantify costs and identify funding.

DATE: April 4, 2013

TO: Transportation Committee (TC)

FROM: Hon. Gary Ovitt, Chair, Transportation Finance Subcommittee

SUBJECT: Transportation Finance Subcommittee Recommendations

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL: Hosailehull

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Review and recommend Regional Council approval of the Transportation Finance Subcommittee recommendations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Transportation Finance Subcommittee (Subcommittee) has held six (6) meetings since October 2012. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS. This report presents the Transportation Finance Subcommittee's recommendations.

STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG's Strategic Plan, Goal 1: Improve regional decision-making providing leadership and consensus building on key plans and policies.

BACKGROUND:

At its April 5, 2012 meeting, the Regional Council (RC) approved the formation of six (6) Subcommittees as part of the implementation strategy for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Charters for each Subcommittee were approved by the RC at its July 5, 2012 meeting. SCAG President Glen Becerra appointed to each of the Subcommittees both RC and Policy Committee members, representing the six SCAG counties, as Subcommittee members. Hon. Becerra also appointed representatives from the private sector (including non-profit organizations) and stakeholder groups as ex-officio members. The Active Transportation Subcommittee, Goods Movement Subcommittee, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee, and Transportation Finance Subcommittee report to the Transportation Committee (TC). The Public Health Subcommittee reports to the Energy and Environment Committee. The Sustainability Subcommittee reports to the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee.

The Transportation Finance Subcommittee began meeting in October 2012 and held a total of six meetings. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information and input on issues facing the region relevant to the Subcommittee's area of focus to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop policy recommendations for the next RTP/SCS.

On March 1, 2013, the Subcommittee approved the recommendations and took action to forward these recommendations to TC for review and recommended approval by the Regional Council. The recommendations are attached with this report and pending input from TC, it is anticipated that these



recommendations will be presented at the Regional Conference and General Assembly May 2-3, 2013 and to the Regional Council at its June 6, 2013 meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds related to the work of the Transportation Finance Subcommittee are included in the FY 2012-2013 Budget.

ATTACHMENT:

Transportation Finance Subcommittee Recommendations



Transportation Finance Subcommittee Recommendations

Over the course of five (5) subcommittee meetings convened to date from October 2012 to February 2013, the Transportation Finance Subcommittee engaged in dialogue with key experts and addressed critical emerging and long-term issues impacting transportation funding, including:

- Reviewed project cost considerations in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS financial plan;
- Reviewed best practices for expediting project delivery and economic considerations;
- Discussed the potential for lowering the voter threshold requirement for local transportation measures;
- Highlighted the importance of maintaining our assets to achieve a state of good repair as cost-efficiency measures;
- Reviewed potential new revenue mechanisms for freight transportation infrastructure;
- Considered options for public-private partnerships, tolling, and innovative financing;
- Discussed California's cap-and-trade auction proceeds process; and
- Reviewed options for designing mileage-based user fees to reduce system costs and increase public acceptance.

The following recommendations reflect the dialogue of the subcommittee meetings along with input provided by ex-officio members and stakeholders. These recommendations are intended to further facilitate implementation of the adopted 2012-2035 RTP/SCS financial plan strategies and lay the groundwork for developing the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS financial plan. These recommendations are complementary to financial plan implementation steps documented in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and will serve as critical input into SCAG staff work programs designed to meet implementation milestones.

1. Continue to investigate cost-efficiency measures for transportation investments

- Continue to highlight analysis of system preservation and full life-cycle costs for major transportation initiatives in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS
- Track results of economic benefits analysis of expedited project delivery
- Support and seek opportunities to promote expedited project delivery
- Support and promote public-private partnership (P3) opportunities for viable transportation initiatives throughout the region
 - Continue to analyze P3 opportunities for viable transportation initiatives identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and assess opportunities to expand current legislative enabling provisions

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Develop framework for a regional asset management system to better gauge system preservation and state of good repair needs as a part of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS financial plan development process, consistent with SCAG's FY2013 Overall Work Program (OWP).

2. Continue to monitor and analyze emerging transportation funding options for multimodal investments

- Collaborate with regional partners to pursue opportunities for cap-and-trade auction proceeds to support transportation investments, including freight technology advancement demonstration projects
- Track potential measures to augment and stabilize state and federal transportation revenues, including adjustments to fuel excise taxes, sales taxes on transportation fuels, and vehicle registration fees

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Develop a white paper analyzing a comprehensive set of multimodal funding options—including near-term options to supplement strategies already adopted for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS—for consideration as part of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS financial plan development process.

- 3. Promote and seek on-going partnerships with regional partners, business leaders, and other stakeholders to further SCAG's 2012-2035 RTP/SCS financial plan strategies
 - Continue to finalize concept of operations plan for a regional network of express lanes
 - Engage regional partners, including transportation agencies, in research, development, and demonstration efforts for a mileage-based user fee system
 - Support and promote a dedicated funding source for goods movement, including implementation of MAP-21 freight provisions

Next Steps to 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Development: Staff participation in partnerships and continue to pursue foundational efforts for new revenue strategies.

4. Continue to investigate and recommend strategies to mitigate cost to taxpayers (including mitigation measures that are not strictly transportation related) over the course of subsequent RTP cycles

DATE: April 4, 2013

TO: Community Economic and Human Development (CEHD) Committee

Energy Environment Committee (EEC)

Transportation Committee (TC)

Regional Council (RC)

FROM: Hon. Michele Martinez, Chair, Active Transportation Subcommittee

Hon. Barbara Messina, Chair, Goods Movement Subcommittee Hon. Pam O'Connor, Chair, Sustainability Subcommittee Hon. Gary Ovitt, Chair, Transportation Finance Subcommittee Hon. Deborah Robertson, Chair, Public Health Subcommittee

Hon. Karen Spiegel, Chair, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee

SUBJECT: Summary Report from Subcommittees

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL: Horas Wehall

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

For Information Only - No Action Required.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Active Transportation Subcommittee, Goods Movement Subcommittee, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee, Public Health Subcommittee, Transportation Finance Subcommittee, and Sustainability Subcommittee have been meeting since September 2012. Presentations by SCAG staff, industry professionals, and other stakeholders have provided background information, and input on issues facing the region relevant to each Subcommittee to facilitate implementation of the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and develop recommendations for the next RTP/SCS. In an effort to keep all Regional Council and Policy Committee members informed, this final monthly report summarizes the work of the Subcommittees.

STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG's Strategic Plan, Goal 1: Improve regional decision-making providing leadership and consensus building on key plans and policies.

BACKGROUND:

At its April 5, 2012 meeting, the Regional Council (RC) approved the formation of six (6) Subcommittees as part of the implementation strategy for the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS. Charters for each Subcommittee were approved by the RC at its July 5, 2012 meeting. SCAG President Glen Becerra appointed to each of the Subcommittees both RC and Policy Committee members, representing the six SCAG counties, as Subcommittee members. Hon. Becerra also appointed representatives from the private sector (including non-profit organizations) and stakeholder groups as ex-officio members. The Active Transportation Subcommittee, Goods Movement Subcommittee, High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee, and Transportation Finance Subcommittee report to the Transportation Committee (TC). The Public Health Subcommittee reports to the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC). The Sustainability Subcommittee reports to the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee (CEHD). The Subcommittees began meeting in September 2012 with a goal of completing their discussions by February 2013 so that



recommendations may be presented to TC, EEC, and CEHD on April 4, 2013, at the Regional Conference and General Assembly May 2-3, 2013, and to the RC on June 6, 2013.

The following represents a summary of the recent Subcommittee meetings:

Active Transportation Subcommittee

5th Meeting, March 1, 2013

The Active Transportation Subcommittee recommended the policy framework be forwarded to the TC, who thereafter would forward the recommendations to the RC for adoption. The recommendations include: develop a definition of "active transportation", which recognizes the varying types and needs of active transportation users; consider and refine the availability of data and information to evaluate the RTP/SCS and its alternatives relative to active transportation policy; develop with partner agencies, a methodology for selecting and prioritizing regionally supported active transportation projects; and seek opportunities to promote and support transportation investments with an active transportation component.

6th and Final Meeting, March 18, 2013

This was a Joint Meeting of the Active Transportation, Public Health and Sustainability Subcommittees. The focus of the meeting was to provide an overview of the next steps of the recommendations and to approve and move forward each subcommittee's recommendations to their respective Policy Committees for review and recommend approval by the Regional Council. Staff provided a presentation on the next steps of the final Subcommittees' recommendations. The presentation highlighted the interrelated nature of the subcommittees on SCS principles and goals, how the recommendations will inform the current plan implementation and the 2016-2035 RTP/SCS development, and what the approval process will be for the recommendations. After a joint discussion with the Subcommittees, each Subcommittee chair provided an overview of the focus of their subcommittee's discussions which led to the each Subcommittee approving their respective final Subcommittee recommendations, and taking action to send them to their respective Policy Committees for review and approval on April 4, 2013.

Goods Movement Subcommittee

6th and Final Meeting, February 25, 2013

Bruce De Terra, Chief, Office of System and Freight Planning, Caltrans reported on MAP-21 Interim Guidance, the State Freight Advisory Committee, and the State Freight Plan. SCAG staff reviewed the key points discussed by the Subcommittee since its first meeting in September 2012. The Subcommittee discussed and approved the draft recommendations. The recommendations are intended to strengthen the implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and the development of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. The recommendations include facilitate implementation of MAP-21 freight provisions—including participation in national freight network designation and state freight plan and national freight plan development; facilitate implementation of freight initiatives identified in the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS—including monitoring of emerging supply chain trends to adapt key infrastructure strategies as needed; and continue to promote and seek on-going partnerships with regional partners to further advance deployment of near-zero and zero emission goods movement strategies that meet emission reduction, cost effectiveness and safety goals. The Subcommittee's recommendations will be presented to TC on April 4, 2013.



High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee

6th and Final Meeting, February 15, 2013

The High-Speed Rail and Transit Subcommittee discussed the proposed transit/rail emergency preparedness and response procedures at Metro and Metrolink and the recently proposed California earthquake early warning system. Presentations were made by SCAG staff on the draft Transit System Performance Report and the draft Passenger Rail Report. The former report is intended to be an annual profile of performance indicators for the region's transit operators. The latter describes the region's passenger rail network, with performance statistics for Metrolink and Amtrak's Pacific Surfliner, and near-term and future rail improvements which will also be updated on a regular basis. The Subcommittee discussed and approved the draft recommendations. The recommendations are intended to strengthen the implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and the development of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. The recommendations include: developing a coordinated regional rail vision; identifying and evaluating potential transit best practices; and providing strategies for inclusion in the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS update. The Subcommittee's recommendations will be presented to TC on April 4, 2013.

Public Health Subcommittee

6th and Final Meeting, March 18, 2013

This was a joint meeting with the Active Transportation Subcommittee and Sustainability Subcommittee. For a summary of this meeting, please refer to the Active Transportation Subcommittee section of this report.

Transportation Finance Subcommittee

6th and Final Meeting, March 1, 2013

The Transportation Finance Subcommittee reviewed the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS financial plan and approved the draft recommendations. The recommendations are intended to strengthen the implementation of the 2012-2035 RTP/SCS and the development of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. The recommendations include: continue to investigate cost-efficiency measures for transportation investments; continue to monitor and analyze emerging transportation funding options for multimodal investments; promote and seek on-going partnerships with regional partners, business leaders, and other stakeholders to further SCAG's 2012-2035 RTP/SCS financial plan strategies; and continue to investigate and recommend strategies to mitigate cost to taxpayers (including mitigation measures that are not strictly transportation related) over the course of subsequent RTP cycles. The Subcommittee's recommendations will be presented to TC on April 4, 2013.

Sustainability Subcommittee

6th and Final Meeting, March 18, 2013

This was a joint meeting with the Active Transportation Subcommittee and Public Health Subcommittee. For a summary of this meeting, please refer to the Active Transportation Subcommittee section of this report.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funding for the Subcommittees is included in the FY 2012-2013 Budget.

ATTACHMENT:

None

